14. Transgenic Crop Cultivation: Current Status and Future Potential

Mihir A. Hansalia

M. Sc. Scholar,
Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding,
B. A. College of Agriculture,
Anand Agricultural University,
Anand, Gujarat.

Ashish Shivji Bhuva

Department of Genetics and Breeding,
School of Agriculture and Environment,
University of Western Australia,
Perth, Australia.

Abstract:

Transgenic crop cultivation has been widely adopted in various countries, with major crops like soybean, maize, cotton, and canola being genetically engineered to resist pests and tolerate herbicides. This has reduced the need for chemical pesticides, promoting sustainable agricultural practices. The adoption of transgenic crops has led to increased yields, improved productivity, and enhanced food security on a global scale. It has also resulted in environmental benefits, such as decreased chemical runoff and reduced exposure to harmful pesticides for farmers. Furthermore, transgenic crops have been developed to address specific nutritional deficiencies in certain regions, thereby enhancing their nutritional content. However, the cultivation of transgenic crops is not without controversy. Concerns have been raised about potential environmental impacts, including the development of insect resistance to genetically modified traits and gene flow to wild relatives. Additionally, debates exist regarding the long-term safety of consuming transgenic crops, despite extensive scientific research demonstrating their safety for consumption. Looking ahead, the future potential of transgenic crop cultivation appears promising. Advances in genetic engineering techniques, such as CRISPR-Cas9, provide precise and efficient genome editing capabilities, enabling the development of crops with even more targeted and desirable traits. Traits like improved drought tolerance, disease resistance, and enhanced nutritional value can be engineered into crops. This holds great potential for addressing global challenges such as climate change and population growth. Through genetic modification, crops can be made more resilient to extreme weather conditions and capable of producing higher yields, thus contributing to sustainable agriculture and reducing pressure on natural resources.

Keywords:

Crop, Transgenic, Economic, Environmental, Intellectual

14.1 Introduction:

Transgenic crops refer to agricultural plants that have undergone genetic engineering methods to modify their genomes, either to improve existing characteristics or introduce new traits that are not naturally found in the particular crop species (Kumar *et al.*, 2020). Conventional methods alone will not be sufficient to meet the future requirements for food and nutrition. The integration of modern biology, specifically biotechnology and molecular biology, with traditional plant breeding techniques offers numerous advantages. To date, there have been approximately 525 different genetically modified (GM) events approved for cultivation in various regions worldwide across 32 different crops. According to the latest report, in 2014, GM crops were planted on 181.5 million hectares by approximately 18 million farmers in 28 countries. This represented a growth rate of 3-4% compared to the previous year, 2013 (Lucht, 2015). India's exclusive genetically modified (GM) crop, Bt cotton, occupies approximately 11.6 million hectares, which accounts for 95 percent of the total cotton production area in the country and holds the fourth position globally. From 2002 to 2015, the Genetic Engineering Appraisal Committee (GEAC) granted commercial approval for a total of 1128 Bt cotton hybrid cultivars (Kumar *et al.,* 2018).

The goal of introducing a combination of genes into a plant is to maximize its usefulness and productivity. This technique offers several benefits, such as enhancing the shelf life, increasing yield, improving overall quality, and providing resistance to pests. Additionally, it enables the plant to tolerate extreme temperatures, withstand drought conditions, and combat various biotic and abiotic stresses. Transgenic crop biofortification holds promise in revolutionizing nutritional content and agricultural productivity. Crop biofortification involves enhancing the nutritional content of crops, aiming to increase their value in terms of nutrition. This objective can be achieved through conventional breeding techniques or genetic modification. It is worth noting that approximately 800 million individuals across the globe suffer from malnutrition, predominantly in developing countries, accounting for nearly 98 percent of the affected population. (Sinha *et al.*, 2019). Transgenic approaches for the creation of biofortified crops can be a viable option when there is insufficient genetic diversity in nutrient content among plant varieties (Brinch-Pedersen *et al.*, 2007).

This chapter provides a comprehensive overview of the current status of transgenic crops, examining their benefits and challenges. The chapter delves into the potential of transgenic crops to address global food security, enhance nutritional value, and improve crop resilience to environmental stresses. It also discusses the ethical considerations and regulatory frameworks associated with transgenic crop cultivation. Through an in-depth analysis, this chapter aims to provide valuable insights into the present state and future prospects of transgenic crop cultivation.

14.2 Historical Overview of Transgenic Crop Development:

The exploration of crown gall disease in plants initiated the development of this technology as researchers sought to unravel its mechanisms. In their pursuit of knowledge, an unexpected finding emerged - the transfer of genes from *Agrobacterium* to woody plants, crossing the boundaries between kingdoms. This remarkable discovery became the foundation for manipulating plant genomes, revolutionizing the agricultural landscape as we know it today. Chilton *et al.* (1977) made a groundbreaking discovery by demonstrating that a specific segment of the Ti plasmid, known as T-DNA, was transferred into the genome of the host plant. This T-DNA was found to be responsible for the development of the disease. The remarkable breakthrough revolutionized the field, prompting numerous research laboratories worldwide to extensively study the properties of this T-DNA. Through their investigations, scientists established T-DNA as a valuable tool for genetic transformation.

The advent of transgenic technology ushered in the era of first-generation transgenic crops, which emerged in the late 1980s and early 1990s. These crops were engineered by introducing a single or a few genes from non-related species into the plant genome, aiming to confer specific traits or benefits. One prominent example of first-generation transgenic crops is Bt cotton, developed by incorporating genes from the bacterium Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt). These genes enabled the plants to produce proteins toxic to specific insect pests, providing built-in pest resistance and reducing the need for chemical insecticides. Similarly, herbicide-tolerant crops, such as Roundup Ready soybeans, were engineered to tolerate specific herbicides. This allowed farmers to apply broad-spectrum herbicides without harming the crop, simplifying weed control practices. First-generation transgenic crops also included virus-resistant papaya, disease-resistant squash, and delayed-ripening tomatoes. These crops demonstrated improved traits, enhanced productivity, and reduced reliance on chemical inputs. While first-generation transgenic crops provided valuable benefits, their focus was primarily on single or few trait modifications. This limited scope laid the foundation for subsequent generations of transgenic crops with more complex genetic modifications and diverse traits. (Moss *et al.*, 2006)

Second generation transgenic plants refer to plants that have been genetically modified and contain one or two additional transgenes alongside a selectable marker. These extra transgenes are responsible for conferring simple agronomic traits, such as resistance to pests or herbicides. Ongoing advancements in crop development involve the creation of "second generation" resistant crops. For instance, in 2003, transgenic maize plants that exhibited resistance to corn rootworm were introduced in the United States. The specific variant known as MON863, which was brought to the market, utilized a synthetic form of the Cry3Bb1 gene derived from *Bacillus thuringiensis kumamotoensis*.

This gene encoded a protein with eight times the insecticidal activity of the original gene. To ensure optimal expression in monocot plants, the gene's codons were optimized, and it was placed under the control of the root-enhanced 4AS1 promoter. The introduction of the gene into corn cell cultures was achieved through particle bombardment. The incorporation of MON863 into maize hybrids resulted in enhanced effectiveness in safeguarding corn roots from larval feeding damage compared to the application of insecticides to the soil or seeds (Vaughn *et al.*, 2005). On the other hand, third generation transgenic plants are those that possess multiple transgenes, which are designed to target various pests and diseases. These transgenes often function in a temporal or spatial manner. In addition to pest and disease resistance, third generation transgenic plants may also express other value-added traits or agronomic characteristics.

Transgenic crop cultivation has witnessed several key milestones and breakthroughs throughout its history. Here are some notable examples:

a. In 1983, tobacco plants became the first transgenic crop when researchers introduced a bacterial gene into their genome, conferring antibiotic resistance.
b. The introduction of glyphosate-resistant crops, such as Roundup Ready soybeans by Monsanto in 1996, revolutionized agriculture. Farmers could now effectively control weeds by spraying glyphosate herbicide without harming their crops.
c. In the mid-1990s, crops genetically engineered with Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) genes became commercially available. Bt crops produce proteins toxic to specific insect pests, reducing the need for chemical insecticides. Bt cotton, Bt corn, and Bt potatoes were some of the first successful examples.
d. Researchers have successfully engineered crops with resistance to viral diseases. For instance, Papaya ringspot virus-resistant papaya was developed in the late 1990s, saving the Hawaiian papaya industry from devastation.
e. Genetic engineering has been employed to enhance the nutritional value of crops. Golden Rice, developed in the early 2000s, contains beta-carotene, a precursor of vitamin A, addressing vitamin A deficiency in developing countries.

These milestones and breakthroughs have significantly impacted agriculture by improving crop productivity, reducing pesticide usage, enhancing nutritional content, and increasing resilience to environmental stresses.

14.3 Current Status of Transgenic Crop Cultivation:

A. Major Transgenic Crops and Their Traits:

Since the emergence of genetically modified (GM) crops in the late 20th century, there has been a swift global acceptance of this technology. The United States of America (USA) stands as the leading country in terms of GM crop cultivation, occupying approximately half of the world's total GM crop acreage. Following the USA, other prominent nations embracing GM crops are Argentina, Brazil, Canada, India, and China. Currently, the major GM field crops consist of soybean, corn, cotton, and canola, while minor GM crops include papaya, sugar beet, squash, potato, and alfalfa. The most prevalent genetic modifications incorporated into these crops involve herbicide tolerance and insect resistance. The trait of herbicide (specifically glyphosate) tolerance is the most extensively adopted in the cultivation of soybean, corn, cotton, and sugar beet, covering the largest land area. Subsequently, traits providing resistance against insects are predominantly utilized in corn and cotton (Que *et al.*, 2010).

Some examples of currently available transgenic herbicide-resistant corn, soybeans, and cotton, including their resistance traits, trait genes, trait designations, and the year they were first sold (Green & Owen, 2011):

a. Cotton:

- Glyphosate-resistant cotton, with the trait gene cp4 epsps and designated as MON1445, was first sold in 1996
- Glyphosate-resistant cotton with two cp4 epsps traits, designated as MON88913, became available for purchase in 2006
- In 2009, glyphosate-resistant cotton with the trait gene zm-2mepsps, known as GHB614, entered the market

- Glufosinate-resistant cotton, characterized by the trait gene bar and designated as LLCotton25, was first sold in 2005

b. Corn:

- Glyphosate-resistant corn with three modified zm-2mepsps traits, designated as GA21, was introduced for sale in 1998
- In 2001, glyphosate-resistant corn containing two cp4 epsps traits, known as NK603, became commercially available
- Glufosinate-resistant corn, featuring the trait gene pat and designated as T14 and T25, was first sold in 1996

c. Soybean:

- Glyphosate-resistant soybean, with the trait gene cp4 epsps and designated as GTS 40-3-2, became available for purchase in 1996
- Glyphosate-resistant soybean with the trait gene cp4 epsps, designated as MON89788, was introduced to the market in 2009
- Glufosinate-resistant soybean, characterized by the trait gene pat and designated as A2704-12, entered the market in 2009

Various genes have been introduced into different crops to target specific insect pests also. For instance, in cotton, genes such as *cryIA(a), cry1A(b), cry1A(c), cryIIA, cry1EC,* and Potato inhibitor have been utilized to combat Lepidoptera and Homoptera pests. Potato and sweet potato have been modified with genes like *cry3Aa*, *cry1A(c)*, and Cowpea trypsin inhibitor, providing resistance against Coleoptera and Lepidoptera pests. In soybean, the genes *cryIA(b)* and *cryIA(c)* have been introduced to combat Lepidoptera pests. Rice crops have been modified with genes such as *cryIA(b), cryIA(c)*, PinII, *cry1C*, and sbk+sck to target Lepidoptera pests. Maize has been genetically engineered with genes like *cry3Bb1, cry1Ab, cry1Ab* (MON810), and *cry19c* to provide resistance against Lepidoptera pests. Canola crops have incorporated the gene *cry1A(c)* to combat Lepidoptera pests. Chickpea has been modified with genes *cry1A(c), cry2Aa, and cry1A(c) + cry1A(b)* to target Lepidoptera pests. Tomato crops have incorporated genes *cry1A(c)* and *cry1A(b)* to combat Lepidoptera pests. Lastly, alfalfa crops have been modified with the *cry3a* gene to provide resistance against Coleoptera pests (Bakhsh *et al.*, 2015).

The introduction of genes derived from viral pathogens into transgenic plants has been observed to confer immunity to the pathogen and related strains. Recent studies have revealed that this immunity is mediated by RNA interference (RNAi), which plays a crucial role in plant antiviral defense. since plant viruses rely on the host cellular machinery for their replication. Most plant viruses possess single-stranded RNA as their genetic material, and during viral genome replication mediated by RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) replicative intermediates are often formed, triggering RNAi in the host. Transgenic plants overexpressing viral RNA frequently produce dsRNA, which subsequently activates RNAi.

This phenomenon is commonly known as cosuppression. transgenic squash (*Cucurbita sp.*) and papaya (*Carica papaya*) varieties developed through this approach have been commercially grown in the United States for over two decades. Other examples of genetically engineered food crops with enhanced disease resistance to microbial pathogens that have received approval for commercial production are squash, papaya, potato, sweet pepper, tomato, plum, bean, and potato developed to combat various viruses such as watermelon mosaic virus, zucchini yellow mosaic virus, papaya ringspot virus, potato leafroll virus, cucumber mosaic virus, potato virus Y, plum pox virus, bean golden mosaic virus, and *Phytophthora infestans* (late blight) (Dong & Ronald, 2019).

B. Adoption and Commercialization of Transgenic Crops Worldwide:

The adoption of transgenic crops has been driven by their potential to address global food security challenges and increase agricultural productivity. Countries like the United States, Brazil, Argentina, India, and China have been at the forefront of transgenic crop cultivation. The United States and Canada have been at the forefront in widespread cultivation of crops like soybeans, maize (corn), cotton, and canola, for traits like herbicide tolerance and insect resistance. Countries like Brazil, Argentina, India, China, and South Africa have seen significant cultivation of GM crops. In these regions, transgenic crops are often embraced as tools for increasing agricultural productivity and improving food security. (Cho *et al.*, 2020) However, the commercialization of GMOs has also been met with debates and concerns regarding their potential environmental and health impacts, leading to varying levels of acceptance and regulatory policies across different regions.

The regulatory frameworks for transgenic crops vary from country to country. Some nations have implemented stringent regulations and mandatory labelling requirements, while others have embraced a more lenient approach. Public acceptance and perception of transgenic crops can also significantly impact on their adoption. However, the global trade of transgenic crops and their products has increased. Importing countries have established protocols and regulations to ensure the safety of transgenic products and their compliance with national standards. (Turnbull *et al.*, 2021)

a. Economic and Environmental Impacts of Transgenic Crop Cultivation:

The cultivation of transgenic crops has both economic and environmental impacts, which can vary depending on the specific crop, trait, region, and farming practices (Brookes & Barfoot, 2020)

Economic Impacts:

- Increased Crop Yields: Transgenic crops often exhibit higher yields due to traits such as pest resistance and herbicide tolerance.
- Cost Reduction: Transgenic crops engineered for pest resistance can reduce the need for chemical pesticide applications, leading to cost savings for farmers.
- Enhanced Market Opportunities: Transgenic crops can open up new market opportunities for farmers. For example, crops with improved nutritional profiles or specific quality traits can cater to niche markets and fetch higher prices.
- Reduced Post-Harvest Losses: Traits like insect resistance can minimize post-harvest losses caused by pests.
- Farmer Empowerment: Transgenic crops provide farmers with additional tools to manage their crops effectively, giving them more control over production and decision-making.

Environmental Impacts:

- Reduced Chemical Pesticide Use: Transgenic crops engineered for pest resistance can reduce the need for chemical pesticide applications. This can decrease the environmental impact associated with pesticide use, such as soil and water contamination.
- Conservation of Natural Resources: Transgenic crops engineered for traits like drought tolerance or nitrogen use efficiency can contribute to resource conservation.
- Soil Conservation: Transgenic crops can promote sustainable farming practices such as conservation tillage. Conservation tillage reduces soil erosion, improves soil health, and preserves soil structure.
- Biodiversity Considerations: The cultivation of transgenic crops should consider potential impacts on biodiversity. For instance, the introduction of insect-resistant crops may affect non-target insects, including beneficial ones like pollinators.
- Resistance Management: The development of resistance in pest populations to transgenic traits is a concern.

It's important to note that the economic and environmental impacts of transgenic crop cultivation can vary and depend on multiple factors. Local conditions, farming practices, regulatory frameworks, and adoption of appropriate stewardship practices all play significant roles in determining the overall outcomes of transgenic crop cultivation.

14.4 Advances in Transgenic Crop Technologies:

Genomic editing techniques, such as CRISPR-Cas9 (Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats-CRISPR associated protein 9) and TALENs (Transcription Activator-Like Effector Nucleases), have revolutionized the field of genetic engineering by providing powerful tools for precise and efficient modification of the genome. These techniques have various applications in developing transgenic crops. It can be used to disrupt or knockout specific genes in crop plants (Gene knockout). It can be precisely inserting specific genes into the genome of crop plants (Gene insertion). It can also be used to directly modify specific genes within the plant's genome, without the need for gene insertion (Gene editing). One advantage of genomic editing techniques is that they can produce crops that do not contain foreign DNA, making them essentially non-transgenic. Genomic editing techniques can be employed to confer resistance to viral, bacterial, fungal diseases or abiotic stresses such as drought, salinity, extreme temperatures, or nutrient deficiencies in crop plants (Impens *et al.*, 2022). RNA interference (RNAi) is a potent genetic mechanism that regulates gene expression by targeting and degrading specific messenger RNA (mRNA) molecules. It can be harnessed to develop transgenic crops that combat pests, diseases, and target specific metabolic pathways. RNAi enables the creation of transgenic crops with improved resistance to pests and diseases, herbicide tolerance, enhanced crop quality traits, and increased tolerance to abiotic stresses like drought, salinity, and extreme temperatures.

Synthetic biology combines principles from engineering and biology to design and construct novel biological systems. In the context of transgenic crops, synthetic biology techniques are being used to create biological circuits, synthetic gene networks, and modular genetic elements.

These advancements enable the precise control of gene expression and the design of more complex genetic traits in crops. Consider the example of rice, a staple food for a large portion of the global population. Rice naturally lacks essential nutrients such as vitamin A and iron, leading to nutritional deficiencies in populations heavily reliant on rice as a dietary staple. To address this, researchers have used synthetic biology approaches to engineer transgenic rice with custom-designed traits to enhance its nutritional content. They introduced genes from other organisms, such as bacteria or plants, into the rice genome to enable the synthesis of desired nutrients.

Multi-trait stacking involves introducing multiple desirable traits into a single crop variety. Advances in transgenic technologies have facilitated the stacking of traits, such as insect resistance, herbicide tolerance, disease resistance, and improved nutritional content, in a single plant. Trait stacking offers farmers increased convenience and productivity by incorporating multiple beneficial traits in a single cultivar. Multi-trait stacking offers several advantages, including reduced breeding time, increased efficiency, and the potential for creating crops with comprehensive resistance and improved agronomic traits. However, it also presents challenges such as trait interaction and potential trade-offs between traits, as well as regulatory considerations and public acceptance. Careful trait selection, evaluation, and responsible deployment strategies are necessary to harness the full potential of multi-trait stacking in transgenic crops (Dormatey *et al.,* 2020).

14.5 Future Potential of Transgenic Crop Cultivation:

Transgenic crop cultivation, also known as genetically modified (GM) crop cultivation, has the potential to play a significant role in the future of agriculture. While it is important to note that the future is uncertain and subject to various factors, some potential areas where transgenic crop cultivation could have an impact like increased crop productivity, improved nutritional content, enhanced tolerance to abiotic stress, reduced pesticide use, enhanced crop quality, biofortification, adaptation to changing agricultural practices. Indeed, there are several emerging trends and technologies in transgenic crop research that hold promise for the future of agriculture.

Advances in genomics and phenomics have significantly accelerated transgenic crop research. High-throughput sequencing technologies allow for the rapid and cost-effective sequencing of crop genomes, enabling researchers to identify genes responsible for important traits. Additionally, phenomic approaches, which involve analyzing the phenotypic characteristics of crops on a large scale, provide valuable data for understanding the complex interactions between genes and the environment. Precision breeding techniques, such as gene editing using CRISPR-Cas9, have revolutionized the field of transgenic crop research. Gene editing allows for precise modifications of specific genes, without introducing foreign DNA.

This technique offers the potential for developing transgenic crops with targeted traits in a more efficient and precise manner. Synthetic biology involves designing and constructing new biological parts, devices, and systems for specific applications. In the context of transgenic crop research, synthetic biology techniques can be employed to engineer crops with enhanced traits, such as increased photosynthetic efficiency or nitrogen utilization. (Basso *et al.*, 2020).

Addressing global challenges through transgenic crops is a crucial aspect of their future potential. The emerging trends and technologies discussed earlier, such as genomic and phenomic approaches, precision breeding, and synthetic biology applications, can play a significant role in tackling pressing global challenges in agriculture. By harnessing the power of these transgenic crop research advancements, we can address challenges such as food security, malnutrition, climate change, and environmental sustainability. For instance, the development of transgenic crops with increased productivity and improved nutritional content can help meet the growing global demand for food and combat malnutrition by providing essential nutrients to vulnerable populations. Transgenic crops engineered with traits such as enhanced tolerance to abiotic stresses like drought, heat, and salinity can contribute to climate change adaptation by ensuring stable crop yields in challenging environments. This becomes particularly important as climate change continues to pose threats to agricultural productivity worldwide. Furthermore, the reduction in pesticide use through transgenic crops with built-in resistance to pests and diseases can have positive environmental impacts, including the preservation of biodiversity and a decrease in chemical residues in food and ecosystems. These crops can promote sustainable farming practices and reduce the ecological footprint of agriculture. The application of synthetic biology techniques in transgenic crop research offers opportunities for developing crops that are more resource-efficient and resilient to changing environmental conditions. By optimizing metabolic pathways and improving resource utilization, transgenic crops can contribute to the efficient use of water, energy, and nutrients, thereby supporting sustainable agricultural systems (Pérez-Massot *et al.*, 2013).

14.6 Regulatory Framework and Intellectual Property Rights:

Regulatory frameworks and intellectual property rights play crucial roles in the development and deployment of transgenic crops. International and national regulations govern the cultivation and commercialization of genetically modified organisms (GMOs), including transgenic crops, ensuring their safety, environmental impact, and compliance with ethical and legal standards.

A. International and National Regulations for Transgenic Crop Cultivation: Governments around the world have established regulatory frameworks to oversee the cultivation, import, export, and labelling of transgenic crops. These regulations vary among countries, with some nations adopting more stringent measures than others. International agreements, such as the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety, provide guidelines for the safe handling, transport, and use of GMOs, facilitating international cooperation and harmonization of regulations.
B. Biosafety Assessments and Risk Management Protocols: Biosafety assessments are an integral part of the regulatory process for transgenic crops. These assessments evaluate the potential risks associated with the introduction of genetically modified traits into crops and their potential impact on human health, the environment, and biodiversity. Risk management protocols are developed based on the outcomes of these assessments to minimize potential risks and ensure the safe cultivation and use of transgenic crops.
C. Intellectual Property Rights and Patent Issues: Intellectual property rights (IPR) protect the innovations and technologies used in transgenic crop research. Developers of transgenic crops often obtain patents to secure exclusive rights to their inventions, providing incentives for investment in research and development. However, the issue

of IPR in the agricultural sector, particularly in relation to transgenic crops, has been a topic of debate. Concerns have been raised regarding access to patented technologies, the impact on small-scale farmers, and the potential monopolization of the seed market. Balancing IPR with the need for affordable access to transgenic crop technologies remains a challenge.

To address these concerns, it is important to establish transparent and inclusive regulatory systems that take into account scientific evidence, public opinion, and socioeconomic considerations. International collaboration and information sharing among regulatory agencies can promote harmonization and ensure that regulatory frameworks keep pace with scientific advancements. By ensuring rigorous biosafety assessments, responsible risk management, and appropriate IPR frameworks, we can support the safe and sustainable development, commercialization, and deployment of transgenic crops, while also addressing societal concerns and promoting equitable access to these technologies (Menz *et al.*, 2020).

14.7 Socio-Economic Considerations:

Socio-economic considerations are essential when discussing the development and deployment of transgenic crops, ensuring that their benefits are realized by farmers, communities, and countries in a fair and equitable manner. The successful adoption of transgenic crops depends on the acceptance and support of farmers. It is crucial to engage farmers in the decision-making process, address their concerns, and provide them with accurate information about the benefits and risks of transgenic crops. Promoting farmer education, capacity building, and participatory approaches can facilitate the adoption of transgenic crops that are tailored to the specific needs and challenges faced by farmers. Small-scale farmers and agricultural communities often face unique challenges related to resource constraints, market access, and socio-economic vulnerability. It is important to consider the potential impacts of transgenic crop cultivation on these farmers and communities. Supportive policies, access to affordable technologies, and capacity-building programs can help small-scale farmers benefit from transgenic crops and mitigate potential negative effects on their livelihoods (Martin & Hyde, 2001).

Ensuring access to transgenic crop technologies in developing countries is crucial for promoting agricultural development, food security, and poverty alleviation. However, cost, intellectual property rights, and regulatory barriers can hinder access to these technologies. Promoting technology transfer, capacity building, and public-private partnerships can help overcome these challenges and facilitate access to transgenic crops for resource-constrained farmers in developing countries.

The deployment of transgenic crops can have broader socio-economic implications and raise concerns about equity. Issues such as the concentration of intellectual property rights, access to markets, and control over agricultural resources need to be carefully considered. Policy frameworks that balance the interests of different stakeholders, promote fair competition, and protect the rights of farmers, particularly small-scale farmers, are crucial for ensuring equitable distribution of benefits and avoiding undue concentration of power in the agricultural sector (Qaim, 2020).

14.8 Conclusion:

Transgenic crop cultivation has made significant progress in recent years and has become a widespread practice in many countries. Several transgenic crops, such as genetically modified (GM) corn, soybeans, cotton, and canola, have been commercially cultivated on a large scale. These crops are engineered to possess desirable traits such as resistance to pests, diseases, and herbicides, as well as enhanced nutritional content. The adoption of transgenic crops has resulted in increased yields, reduced use of chemical pesticides, and improved farm profitability in many cases.

The future of transgenic crop research and development holds both promising prospects and challenges. On the positive side, advancements in genetic engineering techniques, such as genome editing technologies like CRISPR-Cas9, offer new possibilities for precise and targeted modifications in crop genomes.

This opens up opportunities to develop crops with improved traits, such as enhanced nutrient content, drought tolerance, and disease resistance. Additionally, ongoing research aims to address public concerns surrounding transgenic crops, including the development of crops with reduced environmental impacts and improved consumer acceptance. However, there are also challenges to address.

One significant challenge is the regulation and public perception of transgenic crops. Stricter regulations and complex approval processes can hinder the development and commercialization of transgenic crops. Public acceptance and understanding of genetically modified organisms (GMOs) also play a crucial role in shaping the future of transgenic crop cultivation. Efforts to increase transparency, communication, and education about the benefits and safety of transgenic crops are essential.

Transgenic crop cultivation has the potential to contribute to sustainable agriculture and global food security. By incorporating traits such as resistance to pests and diseases, transgenic crops can reduce the need for chemical pesticides and minimize crop losses. This can lead to improved environmental sustainability by reducing chemical inputs and mitigating the environmental impact of agriculture. Furthermore, transgenic crops with enhanced nutritional content can address nutrient deficiencies and improve the nutritional value of staple crops, particularly in regions where malnutrition is prevalent.

In terms of global food security, transgenic crops can help increase crop yields and enhance resilience to biotic and abiotic stresses. This is especially significant in the face of climate change, which poses challenges to agricultural productivity. By developing transgenic crops with traits such as drought tolerance and heat resistance, farmers can better adapt to changing climatic conditions and ensure a stable food supply.

However, it is important to approach the deployment of transgenic crops with caution and consideration of potential socio-economic and environmental impacts. Adhering to rigorous risk assessment protocols, promoting responsible stewardship practices, and ensuring equitable access to transgenic crop technologies are critical for harnessing their full potential while minimizing potential drawbacks.

14.9 Reference:

1. Bakhsh, A., Delpasand Khabbazi, S., Baloch, F., Demirel, U., Çalışkan, M., Hatipoğlu, R., Özcan, S., & Ozkan, H. (2015). Insect-resistant transgenic crops: Retrospect and challenges. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry, 39,* 531–548. https://doi.org/10.3906/tar-1408-69
2. Basso, M. F., Arraes, F. B. M., Grossi-de-Sa, M., Moreira, V. J. V., Alves-Ferreira, M., & Grossi-de-Sa, M. F. (2020). Insights into genetic and molecular elements for transgenic crop development. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *11*. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2020.00509
3. Brinch-Pedersen, H., Borg, S., Tauris, B., & Holm, P. B. (2007). Molecular genetic approaches to increasing mineral availability and vitamin content of cereals. *Journal of Cereal Science, 46* (3), 308–326. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcs.2007.02.004
4. Brookes, G., & Barfoot, P. (2020). Environmental impacts of genetically modified (GM) crop use 1996–2018: Impacts on pesticide use and carbon emissions. *GM Crops & Food*, *11* (4), 215–241. https://doi.org/10.1080/21645698.2020.1773198
5. Chilton, M.-D., Drummond, M. H., Merlo, D. J., Sciaky, D., Montoya, A. L., Gordon, M. P., & Nester, E. W. (1977). Stable incorporation of plasmid DNA into higher plant cells: The molecular basis of crown gall tumorigenesis. *Cell*, *11* (2), 263–271. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(77)90043-5
6. Cho, J.-I., Park, S.-H., Lee, G.-S., Kim, S.-M., Lim, S.-M., Kim, Y.-S., & Park, S.-C. (2020). Current status of GM crop development and commercialization. *Korean Society of Breeding Science*, *52* (0), 40–48. https://doi.org/10.9787/KJBS.2020.52.S.40
7. Dong, O. X., & Ronald, P. C. (2019). Genetic engineering for disease resistance in plants: recent progress and future perspectives1[OPEN]. *Plant Physiology*, *180* (1), 26–38. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.18.01224
8. Dormatey, R., Sun, C., Ali, K., Coulter, J. A., Bi, Z., & Bai, J. (2020). *Gene Pyramiding for Sustainable Crop Improvement against Biotic and Abiotic Stresses*. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202008.0088.v1
9. Green, J. M., & Owen, M. D. K. (2011). Herbicide-resistant crops: utilities and limitations for herbicide-resistant weed management. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *59* (11), 5819–5829. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf101286h
10. Impens, L., Jacobs, T. B., Nelissen, H., Inzé, D., & Pauwels, L. (2022). Mini-review: transgenerational crispr/cas9 gene editing in plants. *Frontiers in Genome Editing*, *4*. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fgeed.2022.825042
11. Kumar, K., Gambhir, G., Dass, A., Tripathi, A. K., Singh, A., Jha, A. K., Yadava, P., Choudhary, M., & Rakshit, S. (2020). Genetically modified crops: Current status and future prospects. *Planta*, *251* (4), 91. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-020-03372-8
12. Kumar, M., Singh, S. P., Kumar, M., An, Kumar, Kumar, S., & Kumari, P. (2018). Biosafety issues in commercialization and development of transgenic crops. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, *7* (4), 2161–2174. https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.704.246
13. Lucht, J. M. (2015). Public acceptance of plant biotechnology and GM crops. *Viruses*, *7* (8), Article 8. https://doi.org/10.3390/v7082819
14. Martin, M. A., & Hyde, J. (2001). Economic considerations for the adoption of transgenic crops: The case of bt corn. *Journal of Nematology*, *33* (4), 173–177. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2620508/

15. Menz, J., Modrzejewski, D., Hartung, F., Wilhelm, R., & Sprink, T. (2020). Genome edited crops touch the market: A view on the global development and regulatory environment. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *11*. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2020.586027
16. Moss, C. B., Schmitz, A., & Schmitz, T. G. (2006). First-generation genetically modified organisms in agriculture. *Journal of Public Affairs*, *6* (1), 46–57. https://doi.org/10.1002/pa.41
17. Pérez-Massot, E., Banakar, R., Gómez-Galera, S., Zorrilla-López, U., Sanahuja, G., Arjó, G., Miralpeix, B., Vamvaka, E., Farré, G., Rivera, S. M., Dashevskaya, S., Berman, J., Sabalza, M., Yuan, D., Bai, C., Bassie, L., Twyman, R. M., Capell, T., Christou, P., & Zhu, C. (2013). The contribution of transgenic plants to better health through improved nutrition: Opportunities and constraints. *Genes & Nutrition*, *8* (1), 29–41. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12263-012-0315-5
18. Qaim, M. (2020). Role of new plant breeding technologies for food security and sustainable agricultural development. *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy*, *42* (2), 129–150. https://doi.org/10.1002/aepp.13044
19. Que, Q., Chilton, M.-D. M., de Fontes, C. M., He, C., Nuccio, M., Zhu, T., Wu, Y., Chen, J. S., & Shi, L. (2010). Trait stacking in transgenic crops: Challenges and opportunities. *GM Crops*, *1* (4), 220–229. https://doi.org/10.4161/gmcr.1.4.13439
20. Sinha, P., Davis, J., Saag, L., Wanke, C., Salgame, P., Mesick, J., Horsburgh, C. R., Jr, & Hochberg, N. S. (2019). Undernutrition and tuberculosis: Public health implications. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, *219* (9), 1356–1363. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiy675
21. Turnbull, C., Lillemo, M., & Hvoslef-Eide, T. A. K. (2021). Global regulation of genetically modified crops amid the gene edited crop boom – A review. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *12*. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2021.630396
22. Vaughn, T., Cavato, T., Brar, G., Coombe, T., DeGooyer, T., Ford, S., Groth, M., Howe, A., Johnson, S., Kolacz, K., Pilcher, C., Purcell, J., Romano, C., English, L., & Pershing, J. (2005). A method of controlling corn rootworm feeding using a *Bacillus thuringiensis* protein expressed in transgenic maize. *Crop Science*, *45* (3), 931–938. https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2004.0304