ISBN: 978-81-968394-9-9

The Emergence of Micro-Credentials as a Standard in India's Educational System Focusing on Development of Skills and the Country's Digital Transformation

Ms. Jyotsna

Assistant Professor,
Department of Business Studies,
Panipat Institute of Science & Technology,
Panipat.

Dr. Manisha Manchanda

Associate Professor,
Department of Management Studies,
Deenbandhu Chhotu Ram University of Science and Technology,
Murthal (Sonepat), Haryana.

Abstract:

Micro-credentials are gaining popularity as potential avenues for some students to acquire employment and as tools for efficient professional upgrading in the twenty-first century. The current systematic study set out to achieve two things: (a) explore how higher education institutions now think about and talk about micro-credentials; and (b) identify the potential and problems associated with implementing micro-credentials. The review's secondary objective was to create a need-driven micro-credentials methodology that provides proof of micro-credentials' worth to stakeholders like students, universities, businesses, and government agencies. The most important results showed that different groups of people had different requirements and expectations. Education providers place a premium on accreditation as a means of establishing credibility; businesses desire transparency regarding the skills their employees have acquired through micro-credentials; and governmental entities anticipate increased graduate employability with reduced tuition costs. A number of obstacles and potential disruptions were identified as a result of the implementation of micro-credentials in the field of higher education. However, with more people working together, these problems can be lessened. The study employs a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative analysis and qualitative interviews with educators, students, and block chain experts. It investigates the benefits and challenges associated with block chain-based micro-credentialing, including data security, transparency, and the potential to combat credential fraud. Additionally, the research explores the impact of this technology on traditional higher education structures and the overall student learning experience. The assessment has uncovered a number of open research topics that are vital to the development of micro-credentials as viable alternatives to conventional degree programmes. The findings reported in the study can inform the creation of policies that facilitate the spread of micro-credentials in the world of academia.

Keywords:

Micro-credentials, Alternative credentials, Digital badges, Student learning, Learning pedagogy, Higher Education system.

1 Introduction:

Micro-credentials are a relatively new kind of education and training that allows individuals to gain specialised expertise in a condensed amount of time. Micro-credentials aim to address the demands of learners in today's dynamic labour market by being more adaptable, accessible, and targeted than standard degree programmes, which can take several years to complete (Abramovich , 2016).

Universities, higher education institutions, online learning platforms, and professional associations are common sources for micro-credentials (also known as virtual badges, nano-degrees, or mini-certifications). They range from hard talents like coding and analysis of data to more conceptual ones like leadership and communication. These certificates are typically gained by participating in a short course, workshop, or project with a clear set of learning objectives (Ahsan et al. 2022).

Micro-Credentials Have Several Important Characteristics:

Sr. No.	Characteristics	Description
1.	**Focused learning**	Micro-credentials are typically focused on a single subject or skill, allowing students to concentrate on the exact competencies that will have the most impact on their future success.
2.	**Flexibility**	Micro-credentials offer adaptability because they can be completed in short bursts of time. They are convenient because they may be done at the student's own speed and online.
3.	**Stackable**	Micro-credentials can be "stacked" with one another to create a more extensive portfolio of accomplishments over time. Micro-credentials are a great way for students to demonstrate their competence in a specific area.
4.	**Industry Relevance**	Credentials like these are often co-created with professionals in the field to guarantee that the information is current and relevant to the needs of the workforce
5.	**Recognition**	While not on par with a complete degree, employers do take note of micro-credentials, and they can look great on a CV or LinkedIn page. They are useful because they prove that a student has learned something.

Sr. No.	Characteristics	Description
6.	**Lifelong learning**	Micro-credentials provide short, focused learning experiences that foster a mind-set of lifelong education. Learning new things is something that may be done at any point in one's working life.
7.	**Affordability**	Micro-credentials are an appealing choice for students who want to advance their education but can't afford to devote a large chunk of their budget to a four-year university degree programme.

Micro-credentials are a great option for employees who are interested in developing their professions but don't have the time or money to commit to a four-year degree programme. They're geared towards the needs of a labour market that's always evolving, where new technology and trends require that workers always be on the cutting edge of their fields. Learners may keep up with the ever-changing business world and take advantage of new opportunities with the help of micro-credentials (Ahsan & Rahman, 2022).

2. Literature Review:

In recent years, micro-credentials flexibility and specificity as a learning strategy have garnered a lot of interest in the world of higher education. The concept, advantages, disadvantages, and effects on universities of micro-credentials are all discussed in this literature review.

Micro-credentials are bite-sized, competency-based credentials that allow learners to acquire specific skills or knowledge in a short period. They are designed to be focused, flexible, and often delivered online. Micro-credentials can take different forms, such as digital badges, certificates, or mini-certifications. They cover a wide range of subjects, from technical skills like coding to soft skills like leadership and communication (Baker, 2020).

2.1 Background and Context:

Several variables are transforming the way individuals acquire and display skills and knowledge, and this is contributing to the rising prominence of micro-credentials in higher education.

These phenomena shed light on the reality that students' and employers' requirements are always shifting. Several factors have contributed to the rising popularity of micro-credentials in the world of higher learning:

Sr. No.	Factors	Description
1	Educating for Competencies	Traditional degree programmes tend to cover a lot of ground, but they don't necessarily teach the skills that employers need. Learners can understand specific, marketable abilities with the help of micro-credentials.

Sr. No.	Factors	Description
2	Ability to adapt and be easily accessed.	Micro-credentialing programmes differ from four-year universities in that they are shorter and more adaptable. Since they are available online, students, particularly working professionals and lifelong learners, have greater flexibility in their study schedules.
3	Continuous Education	The idea of lifelong learning is crucial in a modern, ever-evolving society. Micro-credentials allow professionals to maintain marketability and competence throughout their careers through consistent learning and retraining.
4	Employability	In today's job market, having relevant work experience is becoming more important than having a college degree. Micro-credentials improve a candidate's marketability by providing evidence of their skills to hiring managers.
5	Adaptive Curriculum	Learners can tailor their education by selecting courses and certificates that matter to them and their future careers using micro-credentials.
6	Cost-Effectiveness	Earning a degree the old-fashioned way might take a lot of time and money. Learners can save money with micro-credentials because they are typically less expensive and take less time to achieve.
7	Stackability	Stackability refers to the fact that many micro-credentials can be merged to form a bigger credential or degree. This promotes a cumulative learning model in which students construct their education from previously acquired skills and information.
8	Relevance to Industry	Micro-credentials are often co-created with relevant industries to guarantee the relevance and currency of the material. Both students and potential employers appreciate its practicality.
9	Globalisation	There is a global distribution of micro-credentialing providers. With a worldview, students have access to more learning opportunities and networks.
10	Online Portfolios and Resumes	Digital badges or certificates are commonly used to grant micro-credentials, making them ideal for sharing on social media platforms like LinkedIn. A student's abilities and accomplishments will receive more attention as a result of this.
11	Competency-Based Learning	Micro-credentials often focus on specific competencies and outcomes. This shift from time-based learning to competency-based learning ensures that learners acquire skills that are immediately applicable in the real world.
12	Changing Methods of Instruction	Technological developments like online learning platforms and digital credentialing systems have facilitated the development, distribution, and validation of micro-credentials, contributing to their rapid spread.

Micro-credentials are becoming increasingly significant in higher education as a result of their ability to meet the changing demands of students, impart useful knowledge, and boost competitiveness in a dynamic labour market. Micro-credentials, which provide a more adaptable and individualised approach to skill development and education in response to the growing demand for lifelong learning, are rapidly gaining traction in the education sector.

2.2 Positive Effects:

1. **Flexibility and Customization**: Micro-credentials enable learners to tailor their learning experience according to their needs, allowing them to pick and choose specific skills or knowledge areas.
2. **Speed and Efficiency**: Due to their short duration, learners can acquire relevant skills quickly, which is especially important in rapidly evolving industries.
3. **Lifelong Learning**: Micro-credentials promote continuous learning, allowing professionals to upskill or reskill throughout their careers.
4. **Employability:** Learners can showcase their specific skills and competencies to potential employers, enhancing their job prospects.
5. **Affordability:** Micro-credentials are often more cost-effective than traditional degree programs, making higher education more accessible.

2.3 Challenges:

1. **Credibility and Standardization:** The lack of uniformity in micro-credential offerings across institutions can raise questions about their credibility and recognition by employers.
2. **Integration with Traditional Education**: Integrating micro-credentials into existing education systems without devaluing traditional degrees is a challenge.
3. **Quality Assurance**: Ensuring the quality and rigor of micro-credentials is crucial to their value and impact.
4. **Assessment Methods:** Designing effective assessment methods that accurately measure competencies within a short timeframe can be difficult.
5. **Lack of Regulation:** The absence of regulations and oversight in the micro-credential landscape can lead to inconsistencies.

2.4 Implications for Higher Education Institutions:

1. **Program Design**: Institutions need to carefully design micro-credentials, considering industry needs, learner preferences, and assessment methods.
2. **Collaboration:** Collaborations between academia, industry, and professional organizations can enhance the relevance and recognition of micro-credentials.
3. **Technology Integration**: Institutions should invest in technology platforms that facilitate the creation, delivery, and tracking of micro-credentials.
4. **Credit Transfer:** Establishing mechanisms for transferring micro-credential credits into traditional degree programs can encourage learners to pursue further education.
5. **Professional Development**: Micro-credentials can serve as a tool for faculty development and can also be used to upskill university staff.

In conclusion, the literature on micro-credentials in higher education highlights their potential to revolutionize learning by offering flexible, focused, and efficient ways to acquire new skills and knowledge (Cheng et al. 2018). However, challenges related to standardization, quality assurance, and integration remains. As higher education institutions continue to explore and refine their micro-credential offerings, careful consideration of these factors will be crucial to ensure their success and long-term impact.

3. Issues with the Usage of Micro-Credentials:

Micro-credentials, also known as digital badges or Nano degrees, are a form of credentialing that focus on specific skills or competencies rather than traditional academic degrees (Chan et al. 2020). While they have gained popularity in recent years due to their flexibility and targeted approach to learning, there are several issues and concerns associated with micro-credentials:

1. **Lack of Standardization:** Unlike traditional degrees, micro-credentials are often offered by a wide range of institutions, organizations, and platforms. This can lead to inconsistencies in terms of content, assessment methods, and quality. Without a standardized framework, it can be difficult for employers and learners to gauge the value of a particular micro-credential.
2. **Credibility and Recognition**: Micro-credentials might not be universally recognized or respected by employers and educational institutions. Some employers may prioritize traditional degrees or certifications from established institutions over micro-credentials, making it challenging for learners to showcase their skills effectively.
3. **Limited Depth of Learning:** Due to their focused nature, micro-credentials might not provide the same depth of learning as a traditional degree program. While they can be effective for quickly acquiring specific skills, they might lack the comprehensive understanding and critical thinking abilities that come with a more extensive education.
4. **Short-term Focus:** Micro-credentials tend to emphasize immediate, job-specific skills rather than holistic education. This could hinder learners from gaining a well-rounded education and adapting to changes in their field over the long term.
5. **Fragmented Learning:** Engaging with multiple micro-credentials from different sources can lead to a fragmented learning experience. Learners might struggle to integrate their various micro-credentials into a coherent skillset.
6. **Lack of Rigor in Assessment:** Some micro-credential programs might have less rigorous assessment processes compared to traditional educational programs. This could lead to concerns about the actual proficiency of individuals who have earned the micro-credentials.
7. **Oversaturation and Quality Control:** As the popularity of micro-credentials grows, there's a risk of oversaturation in the market. Low-quality or irrelevant micro-credentials might flood the market, making it challenging for learners to identify valuable options.
8. **Costs:** While micro-credentials are often touted as more affordable alternatives to traditional degrees, the costs can still add up, especially if learners need to accumulate multiple micro-credentials to demonstrate a comprehensive skillset.
9. **Lack of Career Pathways:** Micro-credentials might not always provide clear pathways for career advancement or further education. The modular nature of micro-credentials could make it difficult to map out a long-term educational or career trajectory.

10. **Equity and Access:** Digital access and technology literacy can be barriers for individuals from disadvantaged backgrounds. If micro-credentials become a dominant form of credentialing, it could exacerbate existing inequalities in education and employment opportunities.

4. Future Directions:

1. **Standardization:** Efforts to standardize the creation, assessment, and recognition of micro-credentials are likely to increase their credibility.
2. **Credential Stacking**: The concept of stacking multiple micro-credentials to form a more comprehensive qualification could gain traction.
3. **Block-chain Technology:** Block-chain could be used to verify and share micro-credentials securely, increasing their transparency and authenticity.
4. **Data-Driven Insights:** Institutions can leverage data from micro-credentials to refine their offerings and better meet the demands of learners and employers.

In conclusion, while micro-credentials offer advantages in terms of flexibility and targeted skill acquisition, they also come with challenges related to standardization, recognition, depth of learning, and overall educational quality. As the landscape of education and credentialing continues to evolve, it's important to carefully consider these issues and make informed decisions about the value and relevance of micro-credentials for individual learning and career goals.

Summary:

- Micro-credentials are being recognised as an important tool for enhancing learner agency by allowing for adaptable skill development and retraining.
- Despite their obvious significance for HEIs and students, empirical research is sparse.
- Micro-credentials have the potential to influence institutional practise and benefit students, although this aspect of their use is yet to be fully understood.
- The purpose of this study is to use a futurist perspective to investigate the possibility of widespread use of micro-credentials in light of rapidly evolving technological capabilities.
- The goal is to provide useful insights for a global audience, especially those engaged in planning for micro-credentials.

In summary, a secure and identity-focused micro-credentialing system powered by block chain has the potential to revolutionize how education is recognized and valued in the workforce.

It empowers learners, benefits institutions and employers, and requires careful attention to privacy and security considerations.

As this technology continues to develop, stakeholders must collaborate to ensure its successful integration into the educational and professional landscape.

References:

1. AACSB. (2021). *Microcredentials: Connecting Business Schools and Business through Lifelong Learning*. AACSB. https:// www. aacsb. edu/ insights/ publicatio ns/ thought-papers/ micro credentials, Accessed Sept 2022.
2. Abramovich, S. (2016). Understanding digital badges in higher education through assessment. *On the Horizon, 24*(1), 126–131.
3. Abramovich, S., Schunn, C., & Higashi, R. M. (2013). Are badges useful in education?: It depends upon the type of badge and expertise of learner. *Educational Technology Research and Development,61*(2), 217–232.
4. Ahsan, K., Akbar, S., & Kam, B. (2022). Contract cheating in higher education: A systematic literature review and future research agenda. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 47*(4), 523–539.
5. Ahsan, K., & Rahman, S. (2022). A systematic review of e-tail product returns and an agenda for future research. *Industrial Management & Data Systems, 122*(1), 137–166.
6. Alamri, H. A., Watson, S., & Watson, W. (2021). Learning technology models that support personalization within blended learning environments in higher education. *TechTrends, 65*(1), 62–78.
7. Alt, D. (2021). Who benefits from digital badges? Motivational precursors of digital badge usages in higher education. *Current Psychology*. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1007/ s12144- 021- 02002-0
8. Baker, N. (2020). Introduction to the Jtl special issue on digital learning in higher education. *Journal of Teaching and Learning, 14*(1), 3–5.
9. Başal, A., & Kaynak, N. E. (2020). Perceptions of pre-service English teachers towards the use of digital badges. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International, 57*(2), 148–162.
10. Becker, G. S. (1962). Investment in human capital: A theoretical analysis. *Journal of Political Economy,70*(5, Part 2), 9–49
11. Behney, M. (2019). Synthesizing a digital badge for chemistry undergraduates. *Reference Services Review, 47*(4), 448–460.
12. Besser, E. D., & Newby, T. J. (2019). Exploring the role of feedback and its impact within a digital badge system from student perspectives. *TechTrends, 63*(4), 485–495.
13. Besser, E. D., & Newby, T. J. (2020). Feedback in a digital badge learning experience: Considering the instructor's perspective. *TechTrends, 64*(3), 484–497.
14. Blackburn, R. D., Porto, S. C. S., & Thompson, J. J. (2016). Competency-Based Education and the Relationship to Digital Badges. In *Digital Badges in Education: Trends, Issues, and Cases* (pp. 30–38). Taylor and Francis Inc.
15. Brown, M., NicGiollaMhichil, M., Beirne, E., & Mac Lochlainn, C. (2021). The global micro-credential landscape: Charting a new credential ecology for lifelong learning. *Journal of Learning for Development,8*(2), 228–254.
16. Callon, M., Courtial, J.-P., Turner, W. A., & Bauin, S. (1983). From translations to problematic networks: An introduction to co-word analysis. *Social Science Information, 22*(2), 191–235.
17. Carey, K. L., & Stefaniak, J. E. (2018). An exploration of the utility of digital badging in higher education settings. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 66*(5), 1211–1229.

18. Chan, B., Wei, R., & Fetherston, C. (2020). Innovative digital tools in Ebp and information literacy education for undergraduate nursing students. *Journal of Information Literacy, 14*(2), 128–140.
19. Cheng, Z., Richardson, J. C., & Newby, T. J. (2020). Using digital badges as goal-setting facilitators: A multiple case study. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education, 32*(2), 406–428.
20. Cheng, Z., Watson, S. L., & Newby, T. J. (2018). Goal setting and open digital badges in higher education. *TechTrends, 62*(2), 190–196.
21. Christensen, C. M., Raynor, M., & Verlinden, M. (2001). Skate to where the money will be. *Harvard Business Review, 79*(10), 72–83.
22. Clayton, J., Elliott, R., & Iwata, J. (2014). Exploring the Use of Micro-Credentialing and Digital Badges in Learning Environments to Encourage Motivation to Learn and Achieve. In: B Hegarty, J McDonald, & S-K Loke (Eds), Rhetoric and Reality: Critical Perspectives on Educational Technology. Proceedings of ascilite, 23–26 November 2014, Dunedin; 703- 707.
23. Cobo, M. J., Lopez-Herrera, A. G., Herrera-Viedma, E., & Herrera, F. (2011). Science mapping software tools: Review, analysis, and cooperative study among tools. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, 62*(7), 1382–1402.
24. Coleman, J. D. (2018). Engaging undergraduate students in a co-curricular digital badging platform. *Education and Information Technologies, 23*(1), 211–224.
25. Delello, J. A., Hawley, H., McWhorter, R. R., Gipson, C. S., & Deal, B. (2018). Gamifying education: Motivation and the implementation of digital badges for use in higher education. *International Journal of Web-Based Learning and Teaching Technologies, 13*(4), 17–33.
26. Denyer, D., & Tranfield, D. (2009). Producing a Systematic Review. In D. A. Buchanan & A. Bryman (Eds.), *The Sage Handbook of Organizational Research Methods* (pp. 671–689). Sage Publications Ltd.
27. Dyjur, P., & Lindstrom, G. (2017). Perceptions and uses of digital badges for professional learning development in higher education. *TechTrends, 61*(4), 386–392.
28. Eager, B., & Cook, E. (2020). Micro-credentialing of entrepreneurship education in a practice-based undergraduate engineering context. *Entrepreneurship Education and Pedagogy, 3*(4), 352–363.
29. Eraut, M. (2012). Transfer of Knowledge between Education and Workplace Settings. In *Knowledge,Values and Educational Policy* (pp. 75–94). Routledge.
30. EU. (2022). *A European Approach to Micro-Credentials*. The European Union (EU). https:// education. ec. europa. eu/ educa tion- levels/ higher- educa tion/ micro- crede ntials. Accessed Nov 2022.
31. European_Commission. (2020). *Final Report: A European Approach to Micro-Credentials. Output of the Microcredentials Higher Education Consultation Group.* https:// ec. europa. eu/ educa tion/ sites/ defau lt/ files/ docum ent- libra ry- docs/ europ ean- appro ach- micro crede ntials- higher- educa tionconsultati on- group- output- final-report. pdf. Accessed July 2021.
32. Facey-Shaw, L., Specht, M., van Rosmalen, P., & Bartley-Bryan, J. (2020). Do badges affect intrinsic motivation in introductory programming students? *Simulation and Gaming, 51*(1), 33–54.

33. Fanfarelli, J. R., & McDaniel, R. (2017). Exploring digital badges in university courses: Relationships between quantity, engagement, and performance. *Online Learning Journal*, *21*(2). https://doi. org/ 10. 24059/ olj. v21i2. 1007
34. Garnett, T., & Button, D. (2018). The use of digital badges by undergraduate nursing students: A three-year study. *Nurse Education in Practice, 32*, 1–8. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1016/j. nepr. 2018. 06.013
35. Gibson, D., Coleman, K., & Irving, L. (2016). Learning Journeys in Higher Education: Designing Digital Pathways Badges for Learning, Motivation and Assessment. In *Foundation of Digital Badges and Micro-Credentials: Demonstrating and Recognizing Knowledge and Competencies*(pp. 115–138). Springer International Publishing. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1007/ 978-3- 319- 15425-1_7
36. Noyes, J. A., Welch, P. M., Johnson, J. W., & Carbonneau, K. J. (2020). A systematic review of digital badges in health care education. *Medical Education, 54*(7), 600–615. NZQA. (2019). *Approval of Micro- Credentials*. https://www.nzqa.govt.nz/providers-partners/approval-accreditation-and-registration/micro-credentials/
37. Olcott, D., Jr. (2021). Micro-credentials: A catalyst for strategic reset and change in U.S. higher education. *American Journal of Distance Education, 36*(1), 19–35.
38. Oliver, B. (2019). Making micro-credentials work for learners, employers and providers. Retrieved from dteach.deakin.edu.au/microcredentials.
39. Olsson, M., Mozelius, P., & Collin, J. (2015). Visualisation and gamification of e-learning and programming education. *Electronic Journal of e-Learning, 13*(6), 441–454.
40. Perkins, J., & Pryor, M. (2021). Digital badges: Pinning down employer challenges. *Journal of Teaching and Learning for Graduate Employability, 12*(1), 24–38.
41. Pintrich, P. R. (2000). An achievement goal theory perspective on issues in motivation terminology, theory,and research. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 25*(1), 92–104.
42. Pitt, C. R., Bell, A., Strickman, R., & Davis, K. (2019). Supporting learners' stem-oriented career pathways with digital badges. *Information and Learning Science, 120*(1–2), 87–107.
43. Pizarro Milian, R., & Davies, S. (2020). Forecasting the impacts of the "future of work" on universities: A sociological perspective. *On the Horizon, 28*(1), 63–71. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1108/ OTH- 11- 2019- 0080
44. Pothier, W. G. (2021). Using digital badges in business information literacy instruction: A case study. *Journal of Business and Finance Librarianship*. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1080/ 08963 568. 2020. 18202 44
45. Ralston, S. J. (2021). Higher education's microcredentialing craze: A postdigital-deweyan critique. *Postdigital Science and Education, 3*, 83–101.
46. Reid, A. J., & Paster, D. (2016). A Case Study of Digital Badges in Composition Courses. In *Digital Badges in Education: Trends, Issues, and Cases* (pp. 189–202). Taylor and Francis Inc. https:// doi.org/ 10. 4324/ 97813 15718 569
47. Rogers, E. M., Singhal, A., & Quinlan, M. M. (2014). *Diffusion of Innovations*. Routledge.
48. Ruddy, C., & Ponte, F. (2019). Preparing students for university studies and beyond: A micro-credential trial that delivers academic integrity awareness. *Journal of the Australian Library and Information Association, 68*(1), 56–67. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1080/ 24750 158. 2018. 15625 20

49. Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist, 55*(1), 68.
50. Schrage, M. (2012). *Four Innovation Trends to Watch in 2013*. https:// hbr. org/ 2012/ 12/ four- innov ationtrends-to- watc. html
51. Selvaratnam, R. M., & Sankey, M. D. (2021). An integrative literature review of the implementation of microcredentials in higher education: Implications for practice in Australasia. *Journal of Teaching and Learning for Graduate Employability, 12*(1), 1–17.
52. Stefaniak, J., & Carey, K. (2019). Instilling purpose and value in the implementation of digital badges in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16*(1), Article 44. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1186/ s41239- 019- 0175-9
53. Tornatzky, L. G., Fleischer, M., & Chakrabarti, A. K. (1990). *Processes of Technological Innovation*.Lexington Books.
54. Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. *British Journal of Management, 14*(3), 207–222.
55. Trepule, E., Volungevičiene, A., Teresevičiene, M., Greenspon, R., & Costa, N. (2021). How to increase the value of digital badges for assessment and recognition in higher education. A university case. *Informatics in Education, 20*(1), 131–152. https:// doi. org/ 10. 15388/ infedu. 2021. 07
56. Van Eck, N. J., & Waltman, L. (2014). Visualizing Bibliometric Networks. In *Measuring Scholarly Impact* (pp. 285–320). Springer.
57. Virkus, S. (2019). The use of open badges in library and information science education in Estonia. *Education for Information, 35*(2), 155–172.
58. West, D., & Lockley, A. (2016). Implementing Digital Badges in Australia: The Importance of Institutional Context. In *Foundation of Digital Badges and Micro-Credentials: Demonstrating and Recognizing Knowledge and Competencies* (pp. 467–482). Springer International Publishing. https:// doi.org/ 10. 1007/ 978-3- 319- 15425-1_ 26
59. Wheelahan, L., & Moodie, G. (2021a). Analysing micro-credentials in higher education: A Bernsteinian analysis. *Journal of Curriculum Studies, 53*(2), 212–228.
60. Wheelahan, L., & Moodie, G. (2021b). Gig qualifications for the gig economy: Micro-credentials and the 'Hungry Mile'. *Higher Education, Aug*, 1–7. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1007/ s10734- 021- 00742-3
61. Wilson, B. G., Gasell, C., Ozyer, A., & Scrogan, L. (2016). Adopting Digital Badges in Higher Education: Scoping the Territory. In *Foundation of Digital Badges and Micro-Credentials: Demonstrating and Recognizing Knowledge and Competencies* (pp. 163–177). Springer International Publishing.https:// doi. org/ 10. 1007/ 978-3- 319- 15425-1_9
62. Wong, S. E. (2008). Operant Learning Theory. In B. A. Thyer (Ed.), *Comprehensive Hnadbook of Social Work and Social Welfare* (pp. 69–100). Wiley.
63. Woods, K., & Woods, J. A. (2021). Less is more: Exploring the value of micro-credentials within a graduate program. *Journal of Continuing Higher Education*. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1080/ 07377 363. 2021.19669 23
64. Yıldırım, S., Kaban, A., Yıldırım, G., & Celik, E. (2016). The effect of digital badges specialization level of the subject on the achievement, satisfaction and motivation levels of the students. *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology, 15*(3), 169–182.

65. Young, M. (2014). Curriculum theory: What It is and why it is important. *Cadernos de Pesquisa, 44*, 190–202.
66. Zhou, L., Chen, L., Fan, Q., & Ji, Y. (2019). Students' perception of using digital badges in blended learning classrooms. *Sustainability (Switzerland), 11*(7), Article 2151. https:// doi. org/ 10. 3390/ su110 72151
67. Zimmerman, B. J., & Schunk, D. H. (2001). *Self-Regulated Learning and Academic Achievement: Theoretical Perspectives*. Routledge. Zupic, I., & Čater, T. (2014).