18. Impact of Climate Change on Agriculture Insect- Pest

Dr. Ankita D. Chakranarayan

Assistant Professor,
Department of Agril. Economics,
College of Agriculture, Dhule.

Dnyaneshwar B. Ingole

Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Entomology,
Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth,
Dapoli. Ratnagiri.

Dr. Ashwini P. Dangore

Ph.D. Holder, Department of Extension Education,
Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth,
Dapoli. Ratnagiri.

Anil R. Kakade

Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Fruit Science,
Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth,
Dapoli. Ratnagiri.

Abstract:

Climate Smart Agriculture (CSA) represents an integrated approach to agricultural management designed to address the challenges posed by anthropogenic climate change while ensuring food security and sustainability. At its core, CSA aims to adapt farming techniques, livestock practices, and crop choices to the changing climate, while also mitigating greenhouse gas emissions.

This multifaceted strategy emphasizes the need to enhance agricultural productivity and income sustainability for farmers, foster resilience to climate change impacts, and minimize the agricultural sector's contribution to greenhouse gas emissions. Key to the CSA approach is its recognition of the diverse regional and national contexts within which agriculture operates.

Rather than prescribing universal practices, CSA encourages context-specific solutions that consider local conditions and preferences. It operates along a continuum from on-farm actions to broader landscape management, incorporating both technological innovations and policy frameworks to address the complex challenges of climate change. Central to the success of CSA is the integration of various elements, including technological advancements, policy interventions, institutional support, and investment strategies.

By aligning agricultural practices with climate-resilient strategies, CSA not only seeks to mitigate the adverse impacts of climate change on agriculture but also to unlock opportunities for sustainable development. Ultimately, CSA offers a pathway towards ensuring food security and promoting sustainable livelihoods in the face of a changing climate.

18.1 Introduction:

In the era of escalating climate change, the imperative for sustainable agricultural practices has never been more pressing. Climate-smart agriculture (CSA) emerges as a beacon of hope, offering innovative solutions to the complex challenges facing global food systems.

By integrating cutting-edge technologies, traditional wisdom, and forward-thinking strategies, CSA seeks to enhance the resilience, productivity, and sustainability of agricultural landscapes worldwide.

Through a holistic approach that encompasses adaptation, mitigation, and food security, CSA aims to empower farmers to confront the impacts of climate variability and extreme weather events while contributing to climate change mitigation efforts. In this chapter, we embark on a journey to explore the dynamic landscape of climate-smart agriculture, from the principles and practices underpinning its ethos to the transformative impact of innovative technologies and community-driven initiatives. Join us as we unravel the potential of CSA to revolutionize agricultural systems, safeguard livelihoods, and secure a sustainable future for generations to come.

What Is Climate Smart Agriculture?

Climate smart agriculture is a comprehensive strategy for managing farmlands, crops, livestock, and forests that counteracts the negative impacts of climate change on agricultural productivity.

Pillars of CSA Climate-smart agriculture (CSA) is built upon three main pillars, each addressing different aspects of agricultural sustainability and resilience to climate change.

18.2 These Pillars Form the Foundation of CSA Strategies and Practices:

18.2.1 Adaptation:

The adaptation pillar focuses on enhancing the resilience of agricultural systems to the impacts of climate change. It involves implementing practices and technologies that help farmers cope with changing climatic conditions, such as altered precipitation patterns, increased temperatures, and extreme weather events. Adaptation strategies may include:

Selecting climate-resilient crop varieties and livestock breeds. Implementing water-efficient irrigation systems and rainwater harvesting techniques to manage water scarcity. Adopting conservation agriculture practices to improve soil health and reduce erosion. Integrating agroforestry and diversifying cropping systems to enhance ecosystem resilience.

18.2.2 Mitigation:

The mitigation pillar aims to reduce greenhouse gas emissions associated with agricultural activities, thereby contributing to efforts to combat climate change. Mitigation strategies focus on minimizing the carbon footprint of agriculture while maintaining or increasing productivity. Key mitigation practices include Implementing improved nutrient management techniques to reduce emissions of nitrous oxide from fertilizers. Adopting sustainable land management practices, such as reduced tillage and cover cropping, to sequester carbon in soils. Promoting renewable energy sources and energy-efficient technologies for farm operations. Reducing methane emissions from livestock through improved feeding practices and waste management.

18.2.3 Resilience:

The resilience pillar encompasses broader strategies to build the resilience of agricultural systems and rural communities to climate variability and change. Resilience involves not only adapting to current climate challenges but also preparing for future uncertainties. Resilience-building measures include Strengthening local institutions and governance mechanisms for climate risk management. Enhancing access to climate information and early warning systems to support decision-making. Investing in social safety nets and agricultural insurance to buffer against climate-related shocks and stresses. Fostering diversified livelihood strategies and promoting market linkages to enhance income stability. By integrating these three pillars—adaptation, mitigation, and resilience CSA approaches offer a comprehensive framework for sustainable agricultural development in a changing climate. By addressing the complex interplay between agricultural productivity, climate resilience, and environmental sustainability, CSA aims to ensure food security and improve the livelihoods of farmers while contributing to global efforts to mitigate and adapt to climate change.

18.3 Technology for Climate-Smart Agriculture:

Natural resource management requires coordinated, scientific, multidisciplinary, and varied approaches. It is the main paradigm shift that will modernize conventional agriculture under CSA. Thus, climate-smart agriculture requires multiple interventions in the land, water, soil, energy, cattle, etc. The time has come to adopt these approaches holistically and with sitespecific resources.

18.3.1 Land Use Management:

In addition to its extraordinary function in the cycling of substances between the ground and the air, the land also serves as a repository for greenhouse gases. In varying degrees, land bionetworks are the most vulnerable to the effects of ongoing Climate Change (CC) and Extreme Weather Events (EWE). This necessitates environmentally sustainable land management, which helps lessen the negative consequences of CC and unpredictability. The following are a few examples of actions that will aid in sustainable productivity increases and also contribute to CC mitigation and adaptation:

The practice of carbon sequestration (CS) in soil or vegetation, along with the moderating influence of climate change (CC), is reinforced by various land use management alternatives. These include afforestation, agroforestry, reforestation, soil organic carbon (SOC) management, and the introduction of biochar into the soil.

The preservation and rehabilitation of natural ecosystems, such as wetlands and coastal regions, is of paramount importance. The implementation of sustainable land use management practices such as green manuring, cover crop production, crop residue retention, minimum/zero tillage, and enhanced grazing management can effectively mitigate soil degradation resulting from agricultural activities. These practices also offer supplementary advantages for climate change adaptation. The cultivation of forage legumes such as lablab, cowpea, pigeon pea, lucerne, and sesbania on a large scale to enhance feed conversion efficiency resulted in a reduction of methane emissions by 25-33%.

The implementation of diverse forest and crop systems, coupled with appropriately diversified crop rotations and effective range and pasture management, has the potential to maintain or even improve forest carbon stocks. This approach can effectively mitigate greenhouse gas emissions and contribute to climate change adaptation efforts. The consumption of a diverse range of foods can enhance the nutritional value of one's diet.

Incorporating soil organic matter (SOM), implementing measures to prevent soil erosion, utilizing enhanced fertilizers, adopting crop management techniques such as fallow rice management, cultivating drought- and flood-tolerant varieties, and other similar farming practices are widely recognized as effective strategies for achieving both climate change adaptation and mitigation. Spatial and interdisciplinary methodologies hold significant importance.

Mitigating the hazards of climate change on agroecosystems can be facilitated through alterations in land use practices, including adjustments to cropping patterns, animal production, and the relocation of crop or livestock production from vulnerable areas, as well as modifications to the frequency of application of inorganic fertilizers and chemical pesticides, as well as the allocation of capital and labour. The planting of trees in fields for purposes such as windbreaks, live fences, fodder banks, alley cropping, or enhanced fallows has the potential to sequester carbon dioxide from the atmosphere in both biomass and soil. Additionally, this practice can provide a source of firewood and other forest-based products. According to Awazi and Tchamba (2019), the preservation of natural forests is supported by this, and it also facilitates adaptation and mitigation efforts under CC.

18.3.2 Crop Production Management:

In order to achieve sustainability in CC scenarios, agricultural crop production (ACP) that is managed effectively is crucial. In this respect, SCPI (sustainable crop production intensification) is crucial. SCPI is a type of ACP that makes use of natural biological inputs and processes to preserve and improve natural resources while lessening their negative effects on the environment. It helps make agricultural systems less vulnerable to the effects of climate change. Maintaining healthy soil, avoiding monoculture, and growing high yielding, well-adapted varieties through the use of high-quality seeds and planting materials, integrated pest, weed, and disease management, and careful water management are the foundation of the Sustainable Crop Production System (SCPI). As a result, climate-smart ACP refers to the sustainable cultivation of crops in the face of CC, with the goal of making them more resistant to Climate Variability (CV).

Adopting the tenets of conservation agriculture (CA), such as reduced tillage, crop rotation, and residue retention, is strongly recommended. With zero tillage, farmers can plant wheat immediately after reaping other crops like rice or cotton. In the final stages of grain development, this method protects the wheat crop from fatal heat stress (Pathak, 2009). GHG emissions are cut and soil organic carbon is stored when farmers practise no-till. Techniques that improve the efficiency with which resources are managed or inputs are applied are examples of resource conservation technologies (RCTs), which have direct, measurable, and all-encompassing economic benefits like lower production costs, less need for energy, labour, and water, and better seeding timing, which leads to higher crop yields (Amin et al., 2015).

Physical, chemical, and biological methods, including biocontrol agents, traps, mulches, soil sterilisation, pesticides, resistant cultivars, etc., should be used in the context of integrated pest management.

Integrated weed management is a strategy for controlling weeds that makes use of cultural, mechanical, biological, and chemical techniques to lessen the impact of weedicides on the environment and boost CSA.

Sprinkler or drip irrigation should be used to efficiently manage water and irrigation, eliminate water conveyance losses, reduce water losses due to evaporation, runoff, and drainage, apply water based on crop needs, and so on.

Organic farming is another option because it forgoes the use of synthetic fertilisers and pesticides in favour of natural methods of crop nutrition.

Nutrient-use-efficient crop varieties should be grown to reduce the number of fertilisers used on farms and, by extension, greenhouse gas emissions.

A preferable choice for CSA and livelihood sustainability, especially for small and marginal farmers, is an integrated farming system that includes crops, poultry, dairy animals, and fishing. This method of farming is crucial to ensuring people have access to adequate nutrition because it utilises a wide variety of food sources. The cultivation of energy crops necessary for the development of biofuels tends to eliminate the need for Fossil Fuels (FF). Reducing fuel use in agricultural machinery operations is an effective strategy for lowering greenhouse gas emissions.

18.3.3 Soil Management:

The utilisation of soil as a fundamental natural resource is essential in attaining sustainability via CSA. The soil functions as a substrate for the growth of plants, facilitating the absorption of essential nutrients and water.

The aforementioned phenomenon aids in the sustenance of soil biodiversity and the regulation of various nutrient cycles, including carbon and oxygen. Effective soil management is an essential practice within the context of Community Supported Agriculture (CSA).

The soil management techniques for Climate Smart Agriculture (CSA) may include the following approaches:

Prior to implementing any CSA soil management technique, it is imperative to evaluate the physical, chemical, and biological attributes of the soil that have an impact on soil health and the sequestration of soil organic carbon (SOC). This can be accomplished through an in-situ examination using soil testing kits or by obtaining soil samples and conducting laboratory analyses. The utilization of CSA is recommended for the incorporation of these attributes, as suggested by Faurès et al. (2013).

Minimum or no-tillage reduces runoff, enhances soil water infiltration, and prevents subsurface plough pans. CA increases SOM and inhibits SOC mineralization, which aids SOC sequestration. Thus, CA reduces GHG emissions.

Soil erosion can be prevented by planting vegetation across steep slopes or by building soil and water conservation structures like tied ridges, bunds, terraces, trenches, etc. Grassed rivers, chute spillways, drop-inlet spillways, etc. can securely dispose of runoff water on slopes.

The implementation of agroforestry, mixed cropping, cover cropping, contouring, strip cropping, and other similar practices have been shown to effectively mitigate soil erosion and enhance the sequestration of soil organic carbon (SOC).

The phenomenon of wind erosion in arid and semi-arid regions has the potential to cause the depletion of nutrient-rich topsoil or the formation of sand dunes on agriculturally productive land. The implementation of drought-resistant plant species, rotational grazing practices, and perpendicular windbreaks in relation to the prevailing wind direction can effectively mitigate this issue. The practice of mulching with crop residues has been found to have several benefits for soil management. These include the buffering of soil temperature, reduction of soil water evaporation and nutrient loss, and an increase in soil organic matter (SOM). The resulting improvement in soil moisture content, biodiversity, structure, and infiltration are also noteworthy. Preventing soil erosion can be achieved by avoiding runoff and rainfall. According to Faurès et al. (2013), it has been observed to decrease soil salinity and alleviate waterlogging.

Improper agricultural nutrient management increases soil GHG emissions, making it crucial in CSA. Nitrogenous fertiliser can convert to nitrous oxide gas under anaerobic conditions, which has a global warming potential of 300. Nitrogenous fertiliser mineralization releases nitrate ions into soil water, which promotes drainage. Nitrous oxide emissions are lowered by nitrogenous fertilisers in the reduced zone. Apply these fertilisers to the root zone. To maximise crop efficiency and minimise waste in CSA, fertilisers and manures should be administered at the right time and amount.

Integrated soil fertility management is a crucial component of CSA, which aims to deliver optimal levels of nutrients to plants through a combination of compost, organic manure, green manure, crop rotations, intercropping, and inorganic fertilisers. This approach is designed to minimise nutrient losses, soil erosion, greenhouse gas emissions, and enhance nutrient use efficiency, while simultaneously preserving soil and water resources.

Applying fertilizers in the right form, amount, timing, and position can improve fertilizer use efficiency. Leaf Colour Charts, chlorophyll meters, and optical sensors like Green Seeker can help farmers manage nitrogenous fertilizers precisely (Purba etal., 2015). Computer or Android-based decision support systems like Nutrient Expert and Crop Manager can also help.

Leguminous agroforestry can also be used. Site-specific and integrated nutrient management minimise GHG emissions and stores SOC. Preventing input was enhances soil and water quality.

18.3.4 Water Management:

Water is a limited resource that is being used up too quickly due to reckless and dishonest use patterns. The ever-increasing demand placed on the world's water supply by a growing human population highlights the importance of conserving this precious commodity. At the present time, 70% of all removed water is used by agriculture, mostly for irrigation. Inadequate access to water supplies makes irrigation impossible. Reducing losses due to percolation, evaporation, seepage, etc. is essential for irrigation development, especially in water-scarce countries. Climate change is expected to affect agricultural water in the form of more extreme weather events (EWE) including floods and droughts, as well as higher average temperatures. In this case, sustainable water management is crucial for reducing the effects of climate change. Improved irrigation scheduling, precision irrigation, effective drainage systems, in-situ moisture conservation, and rainfall harvesting structures are prioritized as water management practices that support the three pillars of CSA. The following are the CC adaption options for efficient water management:

Micro-irrigation systems (micro-sprayer, trickle, or drip irrigation) are effective ways to water crops since water is supplied to the roots rather than the soil. In addition to increasing ACP by 20 38 per cent, micro-irrigation systems reduce irrigation water use by 20 48%, energy use by 10 17%, labour cost by 30 40%, and fertiliser use by 11-19 %.

The utilization of pressurized micro-irrigation systems with sensor-based irrigation scheduling can significantly assist in the maintenance of soil moisture content at field capacity within the crop root zone, as well as in the resolution of challenges related to manual irrigation.

Recent advancements in information and communication technology (ICT) have facilitated the development of irrigation scheduling and soil water balance software tools such as BEWARE (Chartzoulakis et al., 2008), IrriSat SMS (John et al., 2009), PILOTE (Khaledian et al., 2009), etc. These software tools are designed to aid in the irrigation scheduling of various crops.

Furthermore, Regulated Deficit Irrigation (RDI)and Sub Surface Irrigation (SSI) are feasible alternatives that can be implemented in water-scarce regions under a climate change scenario.

In CSA, cutting-edge technology like telemetry systems, RS, and GIS are crucial for reducing water loss across the supply chain.

If in a region where groundwater is readily available at a shallow depth, solar pumps may be a great way to get the most out of this resource while reducing the carbon footprint. However, there is another option for reducing diesel use and carbon emissions: micro-irrigation systems that use solar power to pump water from the ground.

The utilization of remote sensing and geographic information systems (GIS) has proven to be advantageous in multiple aspects of water management. These include command area planning and management, crop mapping and yield projection, flood monitoring and hazard mapping, and environmental impact assessment in the interlinking river project.

The modern method of surveying is remote sensing, which may also be used to learn about groundwater's presence, growth, storage, and flow direction. This method is also useful for mapping aquifers and pinpointing places where groundwater could be refilled. Farmers can also benefit from automated irrigation systems, crop and agrometeorology alerts, and insurances for crops and animals that are enabled by information and communication technologies (ICTs) (Altieri and Nicholls, 2017; Mittal, 2012) to help mitigate the negative impacts of CC and CV.

The implementation of various agricultural techniques such as land levelling, minimum or no tillage, System of Rice Intensification (SRI), direct-seeded rice, crop diversification, appropriate irrigation scheduling, rainwater harvesting, site-specific soil and water conservation structures, and improved agronomic practises have been identified as potential measures to enhance the efficiency of on-farm irrigation and mitigate the depletion of groundwater resources.

Rainwater collecting is a great way to prevent drought and should be encouraged in rainfed areas. Alternative adaptation strategies for reducing CC include integrated watershed management and the development of artificial recharge infrastructure.

Many high-pressure drip irrigation systems use more energy to deliver the same amount of water as gravity-based systems. Therefore, changes must be made to strike a balance between enhanced energy efficiency and water efficiency if sustainability is to be achieved.

18.3.5 Livestock Management:

Both livestock producers and consumers are affected by climate change (FAO, 2006). Livestock accounts for 18% of greenhouse gas emissions (Steinfeld et al., 2006). Methane gas, produced primarily from enteric fermentation in livestock, has a heat-trapping potential25 times greater than carbon dioxides. Changing the diets of animals is one way to reduce their methane emissions.

Feeding high-digestibility feeds instead of low-digestibility feeds and adding high-quality feed additives can both improve the diet. Adaptation and mitigation to CC can be aided by including more fats and proteins in the livestock feed and supplementing with antioxidants, vitamins, and minerals (Hristov et al., 2013; Havlik et al.,2013). Restoration of degraded grasslands, better soil health, and increased climate resilience are all benefits of rotational grazing as a method of managing livestock. Cattle grazing on grasslands necessitates the cultivation of improved pasture variety. Vaccines against methanogens found in the rumen of livestock are now in development, which might eventually reduce methane gas emissions (Wright and Klieve, 2011). Greenhouse gases are also released into the air from manure. As a result, composting and other improved methods of manure management should be implemented. Thornton and Herrero (2010) and Herrero et al. (2016) estimate a total mitigation potential of 417,000 Gg CO2 eq from the implementation of these strategies.

The CSA practice of livestock diversification has been shown to increase tolerance to pests and diseases linked to climate change (Batima et al., 2005). Rearing should focus on breeds with improved heat and humidity tolerance, disease resistance, and adaptability to low-input environments (Pankaj et al., 2013). There are a variety of management practices that can aid in the development of livestock's capacity to adjust to CC (Pankaj et al., 2013). One such method is providing animals with a steady supply of fresh, chilled water. Reducing heat stress in animals during hot periods can be as simple as splashing them with cool water at regular intervals. During the warm season, it's best to keep fewer animals in a given space. Animals should be housed in areas with adequate shade since this can lower the heat burden by as much as 30 40 percent. Cattle shelters with roofs made of hay or corrugated steel sheets provide welcome shade. Fans and open housing systems, or taller buildings, can be used to improve ventilation and air circulation in animal shelters. Long-term cooling can be provided by planting trees around livestock sheds (Das, 2017).

18.3.6 Climate Resilience through Genetic Approaches:

The crops genetic composition plays a crucial role in determining its response to external environmental factors and its ability to withstand various abiotic and biotic stresses, such as extreme temperatures, floods, droughts, pest and disease attacks, among others. The crop's Length of growing period (LGP) and phenology are regulated by its genetic makeup, which also impacts its ability to efficiently utilize inputs such as fertilizers and water. The preservation of genetic resources across multiple crop varieties is crucial in facilitating their potential to enhance the breeding of crops that exhibit greater adaptability in the face of climate change. According to Faurès et al. (2013), in order to adapt to climate change, crops must possess certain traits, including tolerance to water and temperature stress, resistance to pests and diseases, efficient utilization of limited nutrient supply, and the ability to growing unfavorable soil conditions. Phenotypic plasticity is a phenomenon that refers to the ability of an organism to alter its physical and behavioral traits in response to changes. The preservation of genetic resources can be achieved through in-situ and ex-situ methods. On the other hand, the utilization of gene banks and botanical gardens for ex situ conservation purposes is deemed to be a more economically viable and readily available option for its users. The process of creating genetically modified organisms involves the introduction of foreign genes or the removal of existing genes through the use of targeted enzymes.

According to Nagargade et al. (2017), the emission of methane gas from rice cultivation can be reduced by cultivating varieties with increased root oxidative activity, few unproductive tillers, and a higher harvest index.

It is imperative to cultivate crop varieties that possess the ability to mitigate greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, as suggested by Barfoot and Brookes in 2014. According to Nagargade et al. (2017), the implementation of genetically modified crops has the potential to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions through the reduction of fuel consumption during farming activities and the enhancement of atmospheric CO2absorption and conversion into oxygen. The Nitrogen Use Efficiency (NUE) of crops can be enhanced through the application of genetic engineering techniques or traditional breeding methods. Improving the nitrogen use efficiency of crops can lead to are duction in the application of nitrogenous fertilizers, resulting in a decrease in greenhouse gas emissions. The objective of enhancing nitrogen use efficiency (NUE)in crops for breeding purposes involves targeting the alanine aminotransferase gene, which has been identified in barley by Shrawat and Good (2008). Certain plant genes, such as those found in Brachiaria humid cola and Leymus racemosus, have been observed to produce compounds that impede the nitrification process in soil by suppressing the activity of Nitrosomonas bacteria, as noted by Subbarao et al. (2007).According to Lutz's (2013) research findings, the transfer of nitrogen-fixing genes from leguminous plants that fix atmospheric nitrogen to non-nitrogenous fixing plants has the potential to facilitate autonomous nitrogen fixation without the need for synthetic nitrogenous fertilizers.

18.3.7 Energy Management:

The agriculture sector is heavily reliant on energy, with non-renewable sources such as fossil fuels being a primary contributor to greenhouse gas emissions, which are closely linked to climate change. Consequently, it is imperative to tackle these obstacles through the implementation of sustainable energy alternatives. The significant need and extensive utilization of energy within the agricultural sector necessitate the careful administration of both sustainable and non-sustainable energy resources. The primary objective of energy management is to optimize energy usage while considering the principles of sustainability. Energy management primarily centres on the optimization of energy conservation and energy efficiency. The following activities are recommended for effectively sustainably managing energy within the context of climate change:

There exists a necessity to augment the stock of bio-derived commodities as a substitute for those derived from petroleum, to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions. Anaerobic microorganisms can decompose biomass such as wood, animal dung, and agricultural waste to generate biogas. The biogas has the potential to be utilized for both heating and lighting applications. The residual substance resulting from biogas production, commonly referred to as slurry, is a valuable source of nitrogen and phosphorous that can be utilized as a fertilizer for crops. Additionally, laser-assisted land levelling has demonstrated potential as an effective method for addressing climate change. This technology has been shown to conserve water resources by up to 40%, optimize the utilization of fertilizers, and increase crop productivity. This methodology reduces greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions across various agricultural activities, primarily by mitigating the requirement for irrigation water, thereby decreasing the energy consumption for water pumping.

The promotion of micro-irrigation systems, which have been shown to decrease the amount of energy required for pumping, is recommended as a means of conserving energy. It is advisable to refrain from irrigating during days characterized by high temperatures, intense sunlight, and strong winds.

The promotion of conservation agriculture (CA) machinery, such as zero till, raised bed planter, seed-cum fertilizer drill, happy seeder, and laser-guided land leveller, is crucialas they have demonstrated their efficacy in conserving energy during diverse farming operations.

Selecting the appropriate pump capacity and corresponding pump sets that align with the water source, whether it be a canal or well, is imperative for energy conservation in a climate change context.

The implementation of variable speed drives (VSDs) on pumps is a significant energy conservation strategy that enables pumps to function at the most efficient rate by offering variable speeds. According to reports, a reduction in motor speed by a mere20% can result in a significant energy conservation of up to 50%.

Performing routine maintenance on pumps not only extends their lifespan but also maximizes the energy efficiency of the pumping apparatus. The implementation of an Internet of Things (IoT) enabled smart irrigation system has the potential to facilitate optimal irrigation of fields, while also serving as a beneficial measure for climate change adaptation.

The implementation of on-site renewable energy generation has the potential to facilitate sustainable income growth for farmers through the sale of solar power to electricity grids or biogas to regional markets while minimizing reliance on fossil fuels.

The on-site production of biogas has the potential to facilitate the utilization of its resulting substances as a form of fluid organic fertilizer, thereby enhancing agricultural productivity while mitigating ecological harm.

Considering the context of Creative Commons licensing, it is imperative to foster the adoption of energy-efficient technologies that can effectively curtail energy consumption in agricultural practices and mitigate crop water demands.

18.4 Impact of Climate Change and Climate Variability on Agriculture:

Climate change and climate variability pose significant challenges to agriculture, impacting various aspects of crop production, livestock management, and overall food security. These impacts can vary depending on regional climatic conditions and agricultural practices but generally include:

A. **Shifts in Growing Seasons**: Changes in temperature and precipitation patterns can alter the timing and duration of growing seasons, affecting planting and harvesting schedules. Shifts in growing seasons may lead to mismatches between crop phenology and optimal conditions, reducing yields or necessitating changes in crop varieties.

B. **Water Stress**: Changes in precipitation patterns, including increased frequency of droughts or intense rainfall events, can lead to water stress in agricultural systems. Droughts can reduce soil moisture levels, affecting crop growth and yield, while excessive rainfall can cause flooding and soil erosion, damaging crops and infrastructure.
C. **Heat Stress:** Rising temperatures can expose crops and livestock to heat stress, reducing productivity and increasing susceptibility to pests and diseases. Heat stress can decrease crop yields, affect livestock health and reproduction, and lead to livestock deaths in extreme cases.
D. **Pest and Disease Dynamics**: Changes in temperature and humidity can influence the distribution and behavior of pests and diseases, affecting crop health and productivity. Warmer temperatures may expand the range of certain pests and diseases, while altered precipitation patterns can create favorable conditions for their proliferation.
E. **Loss of Biodiversity**: Climate change can disrupt ecosystems and habitats, leading to shifts in plant and animal species distributions. Loss of biodiversity can affect pollination services, natural pest control, and soil health, ultimately impacting agricultural productivity and resilience.
F. **Changes in Soil Fertility**: Extreme weather events and shifts in precipitation patterns can affect soil erosion, nutrient cycling, and soil structure, leading to changes in soil fertility and productivity. Soil degradation can reduce crop yields and exacerbate land degradation processes.
G. **Food Security Risks:** Climate-related disruptions to agricultural production can undermine food security, particularly in vulnerable regions with limited adaptive capacity. Reduced crop yields, increased food prices, and disruptions to supply chains can exacerbate food insecurity and malnutrition. Addressing the impacts of climate change and variability on agriculture requires adaptive strategies, such as implementing climate-smart agricultural practices, enhancing water management and irrigation systems, developing resilient crop varieties, and strengthening social safety nets to support vulnerable communities. Additionally, efforts to mitigate climate change by reducing greenhouse gas emissions are essential to limit future impacts on agricultural systems and ensure long-term food security.

18.5 Need for climate Smart Agriculture in present scenario:

The need for climate-smart agriculture (CSA) in the present scenario is more pressing than ever due to the escalating challenges posed by climate change. Here's why:

A. **Climate Change Impacts**: Climate change is already altering temperature and precipitation patterns, leading to more frequent and severe weather events such as droughts, floods, and heat waves. These extreme events disrupt agricultural activities, reduce crop yields, and threaten food security. Climate Smart Agriculture practices are essential for building resilience to these impacts.
B. **Food Security**: With a growing global population and increasing food demand, ensuring food security is a top priority. Climate-smart agriculture offers solutions to enhance agricultural productivity, adapt to changing climate conditions, and mitigate the risk of food shortages caused by climate-related disruptions.

C. **Environmental Sustainability:** Conventional agricultural practices often contribute to environmental degradation, including soil erosion, deforestation, and greenhouse gas emissions. CSA promotes sustainable farming techniques that conserve natural resources, protect biodiversity, and mitigate the environmental impacts of agriculture.
D. **Economic Viability**: Climate-smart agricultural practices can improve the economic viability of farming operations by increasing yields, reducing input costs, and enhancing market access. By adopting CSA approaches, farmers can diversify income sources, improve resilience to climate risks, and enhance their livelihoods.
E. **Global Commitments:** International agreements such as the Paris Agreement underscore the urgent need to address climate change and its impacts on agriculture. Many countries have committed to implementing climate-smart agricultural strategies as part of their efforts to achieve climate mitigation and adaptation goals.
F. **Community Resilience:** Climate-smart agriculture fosters community resilience by empowering farmers with knowledge, tools, and resources to cope with climate-related challenges. By strengthening local agricultural systems, CSA contributes to the overall resilience of rural communities to climate shocks and stresses. Climate-smart agriculture is indispensable in the present scenario for ensuring food security, promoting environmental sustainability, enhancing economic viability, fulfilling global commitments, and building community resilience in the face of climate change. It offers a holistic approach to agricultural development that addresses the interconnected challenges of climate change adaptation and mitigation while supporting the long-term sustainability of food production systems.

18.6 Conclusion:

Climate-smart agriculture can help with global sustainability initiatives and lessen the consequences of climate change. The Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO) states that supplying the world's population with food would require a 60% rise in agricultural output by 2050, assuming that current patterns of production and consumption continue. Reducing emission intensities per production will be essential to meeting the goals of food security and agricultural development while adjusting to climate change. A small change in climate conditions, responsible use of natural resources, increased resource utilisation efficiency, and consistency in production variations can all contribute to improved food safety.

Changes in the way genetic resources, soil nutrients, water use, and land use are managed must be significant if agriculture is to become more productive and adaptive. Various clever motivators, including the Adequate thought should be given to the adoption of zero-budget natural farming and organic farming. Implementing climate-smart farming practices may be best accomplished by making use of renewable energy sources. Using an integrated farming system model could work out well for putting climate wise agriculture into practice. The application of precision agriculture and its most recent developments could have a big influence on climate smart agriculture.

18.7 Reference:

1. Altieri MA and Nicholls CI. 2017. The adaptation and mitigation potential of traditional agriculture in a changing climate. Climatic Change, 140: 33-45.

2. Amin A, Mubeen M, Hammad HM and Nasim W. 2015. Climate smart agriculture: an approach for sustainable food security. Agricultural Communicaton, 3:13 21.
3. Barfoot NP and Tchamba NM. 2019. Enhancing agricultural sustainability and productivity under changing climate conditions through improved agroforestry practices in smallholder farming systems in sub-Saharan Africa. African Journal of Agricultural Research, 14(7): 379-388.
4. Barfoot P and Brookes G. 2014. Key global environmental impacts of genetically modified (GM) crop use 1996 2012. GM crops & food, 5(2): 149-160.
5. Batima P, Bat B, Tserendash L, Bayarbaatar S, Shiirev-Adya S, Tuvaansuren G, Natsagdorj L and Chuluun T. 2005. Adaptation to climate change, vol 90. ADMON Publishing, Ulaanbaatar.
6. Chartzoulakis K, Kasapakis I and Tzobanoglou. 2008. Improving water efficiency: the irrigation advisory service of Crete, Greece. In The 3rd international conference on water resources and arid environments and the 1st Arab Water Forum.
7. Das S. 2017. Impact of climate change on livestock, various adaptive and mitigative measures for sustainable livestock production. Approaches in Poultry, Dairy and Veterinary Science, (1): 33.
8. FAO. 2006. Livestoock' long shadow: environment issues and options, FAO, Rome.
9. Faurès JM, Bartley D, Bazza M, Burke J, Hoogeveen J, Soto D and Steduto P. 2013. Climate smart agriculture sourcebook. FAO, Rome, 557.
10. Havlik P, Valin H, Mosnier A, Obersteiner M, Baker JS, Herrero M and Schmid E. 2013. Crop productivity and the global livestock sector: Implications for land use change and greenhouse gas emissions. American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 95(2): 442-448.
11. Herrero M, Henderson B, Havlík P, Thornton PK, Conant RT, Smith P and Stehfest E. 2016. Greenhouse gas mitigation potentials in the livestock sector. Nature Climate Change, 6(5): 452-461.
12. John WH, Nicholas JC, Evan WC, Thomas MS and Bill W. 2009. IrriSatSMS irrigation water management by satellite and SMS-a utilization framework.
13. Khaledian MR, Mailhol JC, Ruelle P and Rosique P. 2009. Adapting PILOTE model for water and yield management under direct seeding system: The case of corn and durum wheat in a Mediterranean context. Agricultural water management, 96(5), 757-770.
14. Lutz D. 2013. Creating plants that make their own fertilizer. Washington University in St. Louis News Release. 22 August 2013.
15. Mittal S. 2012. Modern ICT for agricultural development and risk management in smallholder agriculture in India. CIMMYT.
16. Nagargade M, Tyagi V and Kumar M. 2017. Climate smart agriculture: an option for changing climatic situation. . IntechOpen, 143-165.
17. Pankaj PK, Ramana DBV, Pourouchottamane R and Naskar S. 2013. Livestock management under changing climate scenario in India. World Journal of Veterinary Science, 1(1), 25-32.
18. Pathak H. 2009. Agriculture and environment: Handbook of Agriculture, Directorate Information and Publication. ICAR, New Delhi, pp 62 92. F.
19. Purba J, Sharma RK, Jat ML, Thind HS, Gupta RK, Chaudhary OP and Gupta R. 2015. Site-specific fertilizer nitrogen management in irrigated transplanted rice (*Oryza sativa*) using an optical sensor. Precision Agriculture, 16; 455-475.

20. Shrawat AK and Good AG. 2008. Genetic engineering approaches to improving nitrogen use efficiency. ISB news report, 1-5.
21. Steinfeld H, Gerber P, Wassenaar TD, Castel V, Rosales M, Rosales M and de Haan C. 2006. Livestock's long shadow: environmental issues and options. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
22. Subbarao GV, Tomohiro B, Masahiro K, Osamu I, Samejima H, Wang HY and Berry WL. 2007. Can biological nitrification inhibition (BNI) genes from perennial Leymus racemosus (Triticeae) combat nitrification in wheat farming? Plant and Soil, 299(1-2): 55-64.