ISBN: 978-93-340-6933-4

11. Evolving Trends in Management Accounting: Navigating the Challenges of Contemporary Manufacturing Environments

Prof. Sushmita Choudhury Sen

Abstract:

This article explores the transformative impact of evolving manufacturing environments on management accounting practices. With the advent of technological advancements, globalization, and shifting consumer demands, manufacturing industries have undergone significant changes, akin to a new industrial revolution. In response, management accounting has faced numerous challenges, necessitating a reevaluation of traditional practices and the adoption of innovative approaches. This paper explores the criticisms of conventional management accounting, the requirement for strategic integration, performance evaluation in contemporary contexts, and the imperative for adaptable accounting systems. Drawing insights from scholarly literature, it underscores the significance of aligning management accounting with strategic objectives and operational realities to enhance competitiveness and facilitate informed decision-making in the modern manufacturing landscape.

Keywords:

management accounting, evolving manufacturing environments, technological advancements

Introduction:

In the last two decades, the manufacturing industry has undergone through significant upheaval akin to a new industrial revolution. This period of rapid change has compelled manufacturing firms to adopt innovative management techniques to effectively navigate shifts like increased information accessibility, rapid technological advancements, and the expansion of global markets. Within manufacturing departments, collaborative efforts have emerged to better cater to diverse customer needs, resulting in the adoption of new organizational structures and production methods to keep pace with evolving demands.

To meet competitive challenges, companies have diversified their product offerings, prioritized quality, enhanced delivery mechanisms, and embraced greater flexibility in order to satisfy the need for an increasingly competitive global market. Uncertainty and continual radical shifts characterize this new market landscape, demanding adaptability and quick responses for corporate survival.

Furthermore, the effects of this evolving environment extend beyond production processes to encompass post-production support activities and services. This has necessitated the application of novel work and organizational techniques, as well as innovative approaches to coordinating, integrating, and managing organizational activities. Consequently, alongside development of technology, there has been a growing interest among managers in adopting new management practices. The 1980s and 1990s marked a period of reevaluation across all facets of manufacturing, with new business strategies challenging the traditional role of management accounting.

The examination of these changes by industry professionals, academics, and management consultants has spurred an ideological shift in manufacturing, resulting to a critical reassessment of the conventional role of management accounting. Consequently, there have been rising scrutiny of the efficacy of conventional method of managerial accounting practices in this era of crisis and transformation within the manufacturing sector.

The challenge to conventional management accounting:

Within the contemporary manufacturing landscape, understanding evolving information needs, utilizing new communication platforms, and grasping the ramifications of shifting organizational structures are imperative for management accountants to effectively respond. Peavy (1990) asserts that the advent of cutting edged technologies in manufacturing necessitates a reevaluation of accounting for cost models to align with the principles of lean inventory management inherent in just-in-time manufacturing philosophies. However, conventional management accounting is criticized for its inadequacies in adapting to these environmental changes.

Traditionally, managerial accountants have focused on tasks such as inventory valuation and external reporting, rather than actively contributing to strategic planning. There is now a compelling argument for integrating a strategic dimension into accounting practices, as the function of managerial accounting in shaping overall strategic decisions has been downplayed, reducing accountants to mere record-keepers. Present day managerial accounting systems prioritize short-term measurements, leading to rewards for management and employees that are skewed towards immediate results. However, sustainable competitive advantage demands long-term measurement and attention, which are vital for fostering employee motivation and fostering innovation.

Performance evaluation:

In traditional management accounting, performance evaluation relied solely on financial metrics, neglecting crucial aspects of automation performance like customer satisfaction, flexibility, and innovation (Bhimani, 1993, 1994).

Irrelevant and repetitious reports:

A significant portion of manufacturers still allocate between one-third to one-half of their production reporting resources towards assessing labor efficiency and utilization. This emphasis was pertinent half a century ago when labor costs typically comprised 30-40% of total expenses. However, with the adoption of modern manufacturing techniques, labor expenses now constitute only 5-10% of total costs. Consequently, managers' primary concerns have shifted towards factors like cycle time, quality, delivery, scrap, and inventory management. Despite this shift, accounting reports often fail to circulate widely, hindering comprehensive job product costing.

Conventional cost accounting systems frequently fall short in accurately assessing product costs. Many of these systems provide misleading information, posing a substantial difficulty for supervisors who depend on them without recognizing their limitations. Moreover, while traditional accounting systems predominantly focus on product costs, new manufacturing methods prioritize the production process and its effect on overall production expenses.

Overhead planning, control and allocation:

A significant area of discontent with practical management accounting methods pertains to the treatment and distribution of overhead expenses (Bromwich and Bhimani, 1994: 95). Historically, overheads have been assigned based on labor hours, a prominent feature of conventional cost accounting. However, the diminishing proportion of labor and variable costs within total expenses, attributed to advancements in manufacturing technologies, renders this method obsolete. In numerous modern manufacturing processes, labor accounts for only 5% - 10% of total costs (Howell and Soucy, 1988: 22). Furthermore, automation widens the gap between variable and full costs (Howell and Soucy, 1988: 27).

Given the divergent trends of labor and overhead costs, relying on labor-based overhead distribution and conventional cost accounting techniques no longer aligns with the evolving manufacturing landscape.

New challenges for management accounting:

According to a substantial body of literature in management accounting, conventional management control practices and procedure for cost accounting are deemed inadequate to fulfill the management requirements of modern manufacturing operations. These methods fail to provide relevant indicators for decision-making and do not adequately reflect the notable rise in manufacturing efficiency and effectiveness associated considering the implementation of new manufacturing methods like Total Quality Control (TQC), Just-In-Time (JIT) inventory systems, and computer-integrated manufacturing processes.

Today, effective managerial accounting systems must accurately reflect the value-creating activities of companies across various domains such as operations, development of product and procedure, marketing, and sales. This necessitates timely and permanent changes in management accounting systems to align with the evolving manufacturing processes and provide pertinent information for managerial decisions and controls.

It is emphasized that accounting systems should serve the goal of the company and be in line with its strategic objectives, considering the rapid changes occurring in manufacturing processes. There is one compelling argument for incorporating a strategic dimension into accounting practices, enabling management accounting to focus on creating added consumer value relative to competitors. This strategic approach also facilitates monitoring participation in the marketplace using a comprehensive range of strategic variables. Accounting for Strategic management (SMA) is proposed as a means to make visible the costs associated with strategies deployed by the firm. However, the idea that SMA demands impossible information hinders its widespread use. It is underscored that management accounting has the responsibility to provide such crucial information.

Globally competitive organizations strive to balance economies of scale and scope while fostering meaningful interrelations between organizational activities operating in diverse cultural contexts. This necessitates revising both corporate information systems for accounting and local systems to accommodate these changes. Performance evaluation is advocated to be based not only on financial data but also on factors such as customer satisfaction, flexibility, and innovation. Using Japanese companies as an example, it emphasizes how non-financial quantitative and qualitative data are becoming increasingly valued. A key takeaway is the imperative for management accountants to understand operational processes and embed accounting systems for managers within operational activities. Collaboration between accounting personnel, manufacturing managers, and product and process engineers is vital to guarantee that measurement systems are responsive to the evolving manufacturing environment.

The adoption of a new approach to management accounting entails implementing methods to account for fixed overheads that reflect realistic technological, economic, and managerial characteristics. The requirement for effective, timely, and user-friendly reporting systems tailored to the new manufacturing environment is emphasized.

Conclusion:

In today's economic and manufacturing landscape, organization management accounting systems must strive for excellence. Fierce international rivalry and quickening technological progress, and the imperative to manage innovation necessitate the provision of accurate and timely information to support management decision-making in creating competitive advantages.

While an outstanding management accounting system is crucial, it does not, by itself, ensure success in the contemporary market. True success hinges on delivering products and services that go beyond meeting but exceed customers' needs. Conversely, an accounting system for managerial decision that is inefficient can compromise efforts in excellent marketing, process optimization, and product creation.

References:

1. Ashley S. 1997. Manufacturing firms face the future. *Mechanical Engineering–CIME* June: 119, 6. 70–74.
2. Ashton D, Hopper T, Scapens RW. 1995. *Issues inManagement Accounting* (2nd edn). Prentice Hall: Hemel Itempstead.
3. Bhimani A. 1993. Performance measures in UK manufacturing companies: The state of play. *Management Accounting (UK)* **71**: 11,
4. December 20–22.
5. Bhimani A. 1994. Monitoring performance measures in U.K. manufacturing companies.*Management Accounting (UK)* **72**: 1, January,
6. 34–37.
7. Bromwich M, Bhimani A. 1994. *Management Accounting: Pathways to Progress*. CIMA: London.
8. Ezzamel M. *et al*. 1997. Accounting for management and managing accounting. *Journal of Management Studies 34*: 3, May, 439–463.
9. Howell RA, Soucy SR. 1987a. Operating controlsin the new manufacturing environment. *Management Accounting (USA)* **69**: 4, October 25–31.
10. Howell RA, Soucy SR. 1987b. Cost accounting in the new manufacturing environment. *Management Accounting (USA)* **69**: 2,
11. August, 42–48.
12. Howell RA, Soucy SR. 1988. Management reporting in the new manufacturing environment. *Management Accounting (USA)* **69**: 8, February 22–29.
13. Kaplan RS. 1983. Measuring manufacturingperformance: a new challenge for management accounting research. *The Accounting Review* **58**: 4, October, 686–705.
14. Peavy DE. 1990. Battle at the GAAP? It’s time for a change. *Management Accounting (USA)* February: 31–35.
15. Schonberger RI. 1982. The transfer of Japanese manufacturing management approaches to USindustry. *Academy of Management Review* **7**: July, 479–487.