ISBN: 978-93-48091-98-7

16. Enhancing Crop Nutritional Quality Through Breeding and Biotechnology

Arun Kumar

Master research scholar, Department of Genetics and plant breeding, Banda University of Agriculture and Technology, Banda.

Abstract:

Advanced nutrition is crucial for our diets, yet not all crops naturally contain every essential nutrient, leading to deficiencies in micronutrients. Nutritionally rich foods, especially those high in proteins, amino acids, and essential vitamins, are essential for healthy living across all age groups. Plants are the primary source of these nutrients, but they often lack certain crucial micronutrients like folic acid and vitamin D, which are vital for human development. This deficiency significantly impacts children and women, contributing to various disorders and unidentified diseases, which increase mortality rates among women. To address this challenge, breeders are focusing on enhancing the nutritional quality of crops. Plant breeding involves genetic intervention to enhance desirable traits. By selecting and crossbreeding plants with naturally occurring high nutrient levels, breeders aim to improve the nutritional profile of staple crops.

Challenges include genetic variability and environmental factors influencing nutrient uptake and bioavailability. Concurrently, plant biotechnology has shown immense interest in this area. Breeding efforts aim to increase concentrations of nutrients such as iron, calcium, and other micronutrients. Various techniques are employed, including mutational breeding, transgenic breeding, gene editing, gene transfer technology, the development of introgression lines, and bio fortification. Bio fortification, particularly successful in staple crops like wheat, rice, and maize, involves elevating micronutrient levels through genetic and biochemical means. Efforts to enhance iron and zinc content in wheat and rice exemplify successful bio fortification initiatives.

By introducing genes encoding ferritin and phytase, researchers have significantly boosted the micronutrient density of these crops, improving their nutritional value. The integration of traditional breeding with biotechnological advancements holds promise for combating global malnutrition.

Future research should focus on optimizing breeding strategies, improving bioavailability of nutrients, and addressing socio-economic factors to ensure widespread adoption of nutrient-rich crops. Biotechnology accelerates the process of creating nutrient-enriched crops compared to traditional breeding methods.

Keywords:

Bio-fortification, micronutrients enhancement, plant breeding methods and biotechnology.

16.1 Introduction:

Increased nutrition and complex components, such as proteins and amino acids, are necessary for a better and healthier lifestyle for all. They are necessary to both adult and child developments. people rely on plants to provide these components since they are the primary source of the nutrients human beings need. Both directly and indirectly, several plants supply these nutrients and components. For this reason, a nutrient-rich, nutritious plant is more crucial for human intake in regular diets. Due to the fact that all plants are autotrophic, which means they contain basic chemicals that can be assembled into the complex macromolecules required for plant growth and reproduction However, plants are not able to provide individuals all the nutrients they require to ensure bye their continued development at every stage of life, such as folic acid and vitamin D. For instance, there are a multitude of nutrients, especially seafood, which is an incredible source of carbohydrates, proteins, fats, and vitamins which are lipid soluble. However, they are inefficient producers of calcium and fe (Micaheal and Dean Dellapenna.,) While fruits consist of carbohydrates, water-soluble vitamins, and an assortment of arytenoids, they are normally a modest source of protein and many minerals. Leafy vegetables are a rich source of multiple minerals and vitamins, but they are loaded with protein and carbohydrates. Because of this, a varying, complex diet is not only necessary for optimal human growth and health, but it also plays an integral part in assessing whether or not one's nutritional requirements have been satisfied based on the concentration of distinct nutrients in the dietary mix. Owing to financial difficulties, a number of individuals are unable to eat a healthy, diversified diet and instead rely on a very basic, simple diet that consists primarily of staple foods like rice, wheat, and maize. In most cases, the basic a meal are deficient both in macro and micronutrients. A primary staple diet places close to 250 million children at jeopardy for iron deficiency, which sets women's reproductive organs at hazards, and vitamin A insufficiency, which causes visual impairment in adolescents, according to Micaheal and Dean Dellapenna. Every year, approximately 2500000 and 5000000 youngsters and adults globally will become irreversibly blind, and 1.5 billion individuals will experience an iodine imbalance. The US National Research Council prescribed 3.4 servings of fruits and vegetables on average per day to help counteract these deficits. Researchers have been interested in strengthening the nutritional quality of plants, in terms of to both nutrient percentage and concentration, in order ensure a healthy dietary intake of every crucial component and to enhance the consumption of the various compounds which support health. To address the nutritional deficiencies in staple crops and enhance their micronutrient content, agricultural research has focused on advanced breeding techniques and biotechnological tools. Traditional breeding methods alone have limitations in achieving optimal micronutrient levels due to complex genetic interactions and environmental factors. Therefore, the integration of molecular tools such as CRISPR-Cas9, Zinc Finger Nucleases (ZFN), markerassisted selection, and plant tissue culture is pivotal in modern agricultural research. Enhancing the nutritional value of crops poses significant challenges for breeders. The primary hurdle lies in achieving desirable levels of micronutrients, which are crucial for addressing global malnutrition issues. International agricultural research institutions have debated existing micronutrient levels in staple crops and emphasized the need to increase them. This initiative requires a thorough understanding of genotype economics and biological information compared to existing genotypes. Critical parameters for enhancing nutrient status include genetic information, availability of germplasm resources, heritability, efficacy of physiological and molecular markers, and biological variability in micronutrient content among identified germplasms (R. Graham et al., 1999). Bio fortification, achieved through conventional breeding methods under the supervision of global alliances like the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research, plays a vital role in this process. Breeding strategies aimed at enhancing micronutrient content in crops must consider environmental and soil conditions, nutrient availability, grain anti-nutritional factors, staple consumption levels, and food processing effects on micronutrient bioavailability (J.I. Ortiz-Monasterio et al., 2007). These strategies strive to develop maize and wheat cultivars with improved micronutrient profiles while maintaining tolerance to stress, ensuring high productivity, and meeting end-use quality standards. This holistic approach enhances the likelihood of farmer adoption and consumer acceptance of nutrient-enriched crops and food products. the integration of biotechnological tools such as CRISPR-Cas9 and advanced breeding methods is essential for overcoming the challenges associated with enhancing crop nutritional quality. By leveraging these tools alongside traditional breeding approaches, researchers can address global malnutrition challenges more effectively and sustainably

16.2 Breeding Methods of Enhancing the Nutritional Value:

16.2.1 What is breeding:

Plant breeding is branch of science of changing the trait of plants in order create more diversify plant as compare to others. In other words, we can say that breeding is a process of intervention the genetic makeup of plants which is directed on the selection process in the genetically variable for the population of plants. This process is occurred in two ways first one is manmade and another one is occurred naturally occurs in the environment. The selection is generally done on the basis of phenotypic level that plays a key role in the breeding methods. (William F. Tracy).

According to Singh B.D., 2022, plant breeding is deals with principles and method of changing the genetic constitution of crop plants it's done for produce the crop varieties that all well suited for the human need. N.E. vavilov defined the "*breeding is plant evolution directed by the humans".*

16.2.2 Modern Breeding:

We know that the hybridization programmes in the breeding is imitated during the 19 centuries after the understanding the process of sex in plant and reproduction that is first time discovered by the botanist and microscopicts named Grew, cameraruis liamses, koleruter and Amici during 1700-1850. (John E. Bradshaw.,2016). The initial development of the scientific breeding is developed form the understanding the mechanism of the mating system of plants and inheritance and heritability of the crop plants. Mendel and Darwin are two scientist that are the main imitators behind the development of the scientific breeding they both show our findings on the inheritances in paper that was published in 1867. (Mendel 1865), as the times passes the breeding procedure become more acceptable for changing the genetic constitution of any plant now in the recent there are several objectives of breeding for which a breeding programmes is established for transferring any traits and quality improvement of the particular crop.

16.2.3 Objectives of Plant Breeding:

source: 2 Singh B.D. (2022)

16.2.4 Future Thrust of Plant Breeding:

we know that for the new trait transfer for the various functional properties i.e. pest resistance and disease resistance and abiotic factors and for the transfer of a genotype in the new environment we have introduces new technologies i.e. development of hybrids by the interogerrsion lines and creating broad genetic bases so sor these changes in the plants we have move forward with the mutation breeding and microbiology concepts for the further improvement to well established and successful cultivars by genetic transformation and the production of genetically modified plant with several new features. For example, in maize by this genetically modification system GM maize is shown the resistance for the herbicide and also for bt insect resistance and in potato we also got success for creating the GM potato with less discoloration on cutting and lower aryclamide production on frying. (John E. Bradshaw.,2016). But this technology is no more in use of the development of crops due to negative impact on genetic constitution of crops and abnormality in human health. *Mutation breeding, introgression* line development and *gene transfer technology, gene editing, cisgenesis* are the most

16.3 Procedure of Enhancing the Nutritional Values in Crops by Breeding:

Hidden hunger that is scientifically known as micronutrients malnutrition is the major problem of the half of total populations in developing countries for the children for becoming lower resistance towards disease and women's health after pregnancy for overcoming this problem there were many ways like supplementation and fortification but these ways are unable to reach the desired level of success from the malnutrition. In the new

Modern Horizons in Agricultural Sciences

advance technological world, there is a major process is coming in the process for overcoming this problem known as the bio-fortification. Bio fortification is the complex of conventional breeding concepts and modern biotechnology to increasing amount of micronutrients density of the staple crops that's hold a great acvhiement in the improving amount of nutritional status and health status of the poor population of the developing countries.(W.H.pfeoffer., *et al* 2007) plant breeding to increase micronutrients density began to grain legitimacy when deficiencies in micronutrients i.e. Fe, I, Zn and many vitamins were reorganized as an issue of overwhelming global issue public health that signify the initiation of the capitalization of agricultural research as s tool for the public health. During july2003 consultative Group on international agriculture research (CGIAR) established the biofortification challenge program for increasing food quality to its agricultural production research paradigm. This approach is based on the improvement from the genetic level to research on the impact of bio fortified crops in human health.

16.3.1 Procedure for Making Bio Fortified Crops:

biofortication is concept for creating a new food public intervention initiative that aims controlling the deficiency of micronutrients in the poor peoples. An organization harvest plus aimed on the three micronutrients that are recognised by the world health organization (WHO) as limiting for human health. For achieving the acquired nutrient level in the plants the harvest plus started work with the multidisciplinary alliance of over 70 scientists at 46 institutions around the world.10 CGIAR research centres form the nexus of development of bio fortified crops.25 national agricultural research system partners make up a research alliance that conducts adaptive and participatory breeding of promising varieties. (W.H.pfeoffer., *et al* 2007). The major objective of this organization to attain the level of nutrients by the major activities like allocation of research into related groups including nutritional research plant breeding and nutritional genomics research, research on delivering bio fortified crops to end users effectively and communication activities to support. In starting there were six staple crops were selected for the development in the various phases that as listed below.

Table 16.1: bio fortified crops

(W.H.pfeoffer., et al 2007)

During first phase the mjorly consumed staple foods have been concerned in this phase in Africa, Asia and Latin America. for the prebreeding feasibility there were 10 more crops added in the phase second that has been showed in the table. Biofortification process marked the differences between the conventional breeding and biofortification plant breeding.

By the conventional breeding we can improve traits of known economic value and develop product concepts for the existing markets. In biofortification breeding focus on the making an impact on human micronutrients status and maintains close link with nutrition's. the pathway for the biofortification plant breeding is given below that's show how the bio fortification play a major role in the research path of improving quality of the micronutrients.

16.3.2 Outline of Breeding Activity for Bio Fortification:

There is the major difference between the conventional breeding and bio fortification breeding process for the enhancing the level of micronutrients in the major staple crops. Conventional breeding seeks mainly to improve traits of the economic value and develop product concept for existing market values and demand. On other hand biofortificaton breeding mainly focus on market impact on human dietattion mainly deficient in micronutrients who understand the complexities of making a measurable impact on human health. to set a targeted levels and determine the likely contribution to nutritional status, critical information is needed on the bioconversion and biovall ability of ingested nutrients, retention of the micronutrients after storage, processing and cooking, human micronutrient requirements and potential level of consumption by the target population(W.H.pfeoffer., *et al* 2007)) according to bouis, 2003, bio fortification requires direct linkages between plant science research and the human health and nutrition sectors which thus become an integral part of crop improvement and product development.

16.3.3 Procedure for Creating Bio Fortified Wheat:

Bio fortification is mainly worked on the major objective that is decreasing the deficiency of the macronutrients in the crops we privisolly discussed that why the concept of bio fortification is necessary for enhancing level of micronutrients in the corps like as wheat, maze and rice.

Modern Horizons in Agricultural Sciences

Some countries are targeted for the high production of the wheat and maize in the ratio the minimal amount for micronutrients is present and that amount will not depredate after processing.

In case of maize sub-Saharan Africa and Latin America are the most extensive consumers with least losses of micronutrients while processing. Consumption factors for the production of the wheat an, maize production and access to other potential source of micronutrient also need to consideration institutional factors are also played a vital role with the celebrative relationship and interest for bio pontificated crop varieties for the users. In those areas in which these crops are consumed at extensive level in both urban and rural areas i.e. Zambia, Ghana, Ethiopia, Guatemala, India and Pakistan these are known for the wheat consumption at extensive level in rural and urban areas. (Ortiz-monasterio *et al 2007*)

16.3.4 Bio Fortification for Wheat at Genetic Changes and For Its Variations:

We know that behind the success of crop improvement through plant breeding strategies depends on the existence of the genetic variation for the targeted trait in the desirable gene pool available to the breeder. When the breeding programmer is implemented for the micronutrient's enhancement in the grain so the micronutrient concentration is mainly depending on the environmental conditions and the soil compositions.

Despite adds advancement in breeding for efficiency of uptake or mobilization to the grain, the constitution of fe and Zn in the grain are limited by their availability in the soil and it would be more difficult, if not possible to develop varieties that produce grain with nutritionally meaningful concentration of these minerals when grown in the deficient soils. For creating a mineral rich grain breeder has to keep in mind the high level of these mineral in any germplasm needs to grow out in the better field condition along with the use of manures and other beneficial fertilizers which are rich in these minerals. As an example, Welch et al. (2005) grew the Indian durum wheat cultivar C306 under hydroponic conditions and reported 130 mg/g of Zn and 220 mg/g of Fe in the grain.

In contrast, Ortiz-Monasterio analyzed from the same cultivar grown under field conditions and found 31 and 33 mg/g of Zn and Fe, respectively, in the grain. We know that wheat is the crop which contain trace of vitamin A and caretinoides in wheat the yellow pigment is predominant which is show by the caretinoides similarly in the durum wheat lutein and zeaxhanthium are caretinodies which does not show any provitamins(Ortiz-monasterio *et al 2007*) Activity is held by the international maize and wheat improvement centre(CIMMYT) under this activity the orange pigmented wheat have a few amount of the provitamin but in the finding of the caretinoide does not identified after the screening of thousands of lines of wheat germplasm.(Ortiz-monasterio *et al 2007*) unlikely the event that wheat with the enhanced provitamin A caretinoid level is developed, it important to consider that it will have unusually high yellowish to orange pigmentation, which may have implications for its acceptability to consumers. The acceptability of yellow or orange coloured wheat- based foods to the target population in India, Pakistan and central Asia who commonly consume them. At the CIMMYT more than 3000 lines of wheat's, landraces, bread wheat, durum wheat, whose ploidy level are hexaploid, tetraploid, and diploid sources from the genebank have been screened for Fe and Zn variation.

The most promising materials, in order of importance, are wild relatives and primitive cultivated and triticale. According to Monasterio and Graham, 2000 The range of values for Fe concentration in grain among hexaploid wheat, Tritium dicoccon, and landraces grown under field conditions, was from 25 to 56 mg/g, with a mean of 37 mg/g, while the range for Zn was 25–65 mg/g, with a mean of 35 mg/g.

However, the genotypes with the highest levels were low yielding, unadapted genotypes. The search for germplasm that accumulated higher levels of Fe and Zn led to a more indepth evaluation of landraces and, finally, the secondary gene pool, where tetraploid and diploid progenitors of hexaploid wheat were evaluated for enhanced micronutrient status (Cakmak et al., 1999).

Tritium dicoccoides, Aegilops tauschii, Tritium monococcum, and Tritium boeticum were among the most promising sources of high Fe and Zn levels in the grain. Some of these genotypes showed values as high as 142 mg/g of Zn; however, in some locations manure had been applied in the past, which probably contributed to the high Zn values. A recent study evaluated a set of high yielding lines under field conditions. The Zn values generally ranged between 15 and 35 mg/g but increased to 43 mg/g in some genotypes, while the Fe concentrations ranged from 20 to 60 mg/g (Ortiz-monasterio *et al 2007*).

Taking into account the bioavailability, the daily intake and the estimated average requirements, some general estimations were made by Harvest Plus to set the tentative breeding target for wheat.

In Pakistan and northern India, the target is to increase Fe and Zn levels by 25 and 10 mg/g, respectively, above the baseline, which is the mean of genotypes grown in the region. This translates on average into total Zn and Fe levels in the grain of 45 and 60 mg/g, respectively. In our opinion, there is sufficient genetic variability to develop wheat varieties with increased Zn levels in the grain.

There is also promising genetic variability for Fe but due to the lower bioavailability of Fe when compared with \overline{Zn} , target levels for Fe are significantly higher and meeting them will be more challenging.

16.4 What Is Biotechnology:

Biotechnology is may defined as the generation of useful products or services by employing biological agent like as microorganisms, cells and tissues of plants or animals or subcelluar components thereof. In this branch of biotechnology there is another concept that known as plant biotechnology that may defined as the generation of useful products and services that are obtain from the plant cells, tissues and often organs. (B.D.Singh., 2014).

16.4.1 Objectives of Plant Biotechnology:

The various objectives of plant biotechnology is present in the flow chart that are listed below:

Modern Horizons in Agricultural Sciences

(B.D.Singh., 2014).

Figure 16.1: Objectives of Plant Biotechnology

16.4.2 Enhancement of Nutritional Quality by Biotechnology:

According to A. O. UNCU *et al due to* lack of the proper nutrition's in human diet approximate 2.5 million children died every year, moreover, vitamin and mineral deficiencies alone effect around 2 billion peoples worldwide that's increasing the ratio of death and serious illness and deficiency disorders in the children's. We can overcome this problem by the conventional breeding but in conventional breeding major drawback is the it takes more times and limited by the genetic diversity available in the given gene pool. in case of biotechnology hold the promise for more dramatic improvements in plant nutritional quality as the gene pool; for genetic modification is virtually unlimited (A. O. UNCU *et al)* biotechnology is feasible to introduce an entirely new biosynthetic pathways in plant to achieve the bioaccumulation of a target phytonutrient. However, to determine is a specific goal is met the correlation between bioaccumulation and bioavailability must be addressed.

16.4.3 Aim for The Improvement in Crops:

We know that plant provides a diverse array of chemical important for the human diet. These chemicals are known as phytochemical and divided in two major groups based on their abundance in the plant.

Major constituents are present in the gm per100g of the food product and include proteins, carbohydrates and lipids and minor components are found in micrograms or milligrams per 100gm of food and include vitamins, minerals and health enhancing secondary metabolites

such as antioxidants. Modification of both components of plant product is possible by the genetic engineering however it is generally considered that alterations in quantities of major constituents are much more difficult than quantatives changes in minor constitution. This is because quantitative changes to major components require the diversion of a substantial number of precursor(s) from other pathways and may present a storage problem. As a result, modification of proteins, carbohydrates and lipids has largely been confined to qualitative changes. For example, the fatty acid synthesis pathway has been engineered to produce a healthier profile of polyunsaturated fatty acids in oil crops (Singh et al., 2005). In addition, much progress has been made in accumulating long chain omega-3 fatty acids in oil-seed crops. Modification in the plant for the targeted traits and process we can do modification in various components of plant that are as listed below:

- ➢ *Modification in proteins*
- ➢ *Engineering for carbohydrates*
- ➢ *Modification in fatty acids*
- ➢ *Engineering for vitamins and antioxidants contents*
- ➢ *Modification in mineral content*
- ➢ *Creation of allergens deficient plants\(*Samuel *SM.,20007)*

16.4.4 Enhancement Nutritional Quality of Rice by Biotechnology;

Enhancement I quality of rice is mainly enhanced by the several modifications in the genetic constitution of the crops that are described below:

Enhancement by the increasing iron content:

In palnt , animal and bacteria ferritin is a protein which stores iron. This ferritin is isolated from the sequenced in plants i.e. soybean, French bean, pea, and maize. Improvement in the iron content of rice is done by transferring the entire coding sequence of the soybean ferritin gene in the japonica rice. The introduced ferritin gene was expressed under the control of a rice seed-storage protein glutelin promoter to mediate the accumulation of iron specifically in the grain. The transgenic seeds stored up to three times more iron than the normal seeds Iron levels in the whole (unmilled) seeds of the transformants varied from 13 to 38 ppm.

In a heavily rice-eating population, an adult may consume 400 g (1,400 calories) of rice (dry weight) a day. If the differential in iron content in milled rice is 10 ppm between an iron-dense (say, 18 ppm) and a normal iron rice (say 8 ppm), this adds 4 mg of iron to the diet per day, which may be a 50% increase over the average daily intake of a poor person who obtains 80% to 90% of his or her energy from rice.

This underscores the importance of determining where in the endosperm the iron (and other trace minerals) is deposited and how mineral levels are affected by milling. Although that for the non-transformants varied from only 9 to 14 ppm, the pooled mean values were 23 ppm for transformants and 11 ppm for non-transformants. The average iron contents in the endosperm were 3.4 ppm for transformants and 1.6 ppm for nontransformants.(S. Datta and H. E. Bouis)

16.5 Advancements in Nutritional Enhancement Through Genetic Engineering:

16.5.1 Heat-Stable Phytase Gene for Phytic Acid Degradation:

In the context of rice, which typically has low phytin levels, research has underscored the benefits of incorporating phytase into poultry diets. Phytic acid, prevalent in grains, is hydrolyzed when seeds are soaked in water. However, the natural phytase present in rice is deactivated by boiling (Swapan Datta & Howarth E. Bouis, 2000). To address this, a heatstable phytase gene derived from Aspergillus fumigates was introduced into rice. This genetic modification resulted in a remarkable 130-fold increase in phytase activity. The heat-stable variant was engineered through a specific amino acid alteration, ensuring its activity across a broad pH range found in the digestive tract and its ability to degrade phytic acid rapidly during in vitro digestion. Despite these advancements, the phytase's effectiveness diminished upon expression in the grain due to heat instability, necessitating further refinement to maintain its activity post-boiling.

16.5.2 Enhancing Lysine Content in Rice:

Lysine, a crucial but often limiting essential amino acid in rice, plays a significant role in promoting the uptake of trace minerals. Genetic engineering offers a viable solution to augment lysine levels. The introduction of bacterial genes encoding dihydrodipicolinic acid synthase (DHDPS) from Corynebacterium dapA and aspartokinase (AK) from a mutant Escherichia coli lysC gene has successfully increased lysine content by approximately fivefold in canola, corn, and soybeans. This approach has been proposed for rice improvement, with DuPont collaborating with the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI) to develop lysine-enriched rice. The advent of the rice genome sequence, facilitated by Monsanto, promises to expedite gene discovery and crop enhancement, significantly impacting nutritional genomics and food quality (Swapan Datta & Howarth E. Bouis, 2000).

16.5.3 Enhancing Nutritional Quality of Mustard Oil through Biotechnology:

In modern dietary practices, the quality of vegetable oils is critically evaluated based on their fatty acid composition, including saturated, monounsaturated, and polyunsaturated fatty acids. Mustard oil stands out due to its low saturated fat content and favorable balance of n-3 and n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids, making it beneficial for consumption. Mustard oil typically contains oleic acid (8–15%), linoleic acid (13–20%), and linolenic acid (6– 14%), with erucic acid constituting 41–50% of its total fatty acids. Although erucic acid is a significant component, its high levels are nutritionally undesirable due to potential health risks such as impaired myocardial conductance and elevated cholesterol levels. The presence of oleic acid is advantageous for cooking due to its thermal stability, while linoleic and linolenic acids are essential dietary components**.** Efforts to develop low-erucic acid mustard varieties, particularly in India, have faced challenges due to the complex genetic control of erucic acid biosynthesis. Research has identified genotypes with genetic blocks in eicosenoic and erucic acid synthesis in Brassica napusand Brassica campestris. The inheritance of erucic acid content is regulated by multiple genes, with the embryo genotype playing a crucial role in B. napus.

Studies have pinpointed two dominant genes with additive effects on erucic acid biosynthesis in B. juncea. Recent advancements have led to the development of earlymaturing, low-erucic acid strains of B. juncea and B. napus, tailored for Indian agro-climatic conditions. These developments, which focus on reducing erucic acid content and diversifying fatty acid profiles, are progressing toward practical application. advancements in genetic engineering are paving the way for significant improvements in the nutritional quality of staple crops such as rice and mustard. These innovations promise enhanced dietary benefits and align with contemporary needs for healthier food sources.

16.6 Conclusion:

In conclusion, hidden hunger, or micronutrient malnutrition, affects a significant portion of the population in developing countries, particularly children and women, leading to increased vulnerability to diseases and other health complications. Traditional approaches like supplementation and fortification have had limitations in achieving desired outcomes. However, the advent of bio fortification, combining conventional breeding techniques with modern biotechnology, represents a promising solution. Bio fortification focuses on enhancing the nutrient density of staple crops, such as wheat, rice, and maize, thereby improving the nutritional and health status of vulnerable populations. This approach has been spearheaded by initiatives like the Harvest Plus program, which has brought together a global network of scientists and institutions to develop nutrient-rich varieties through collaborative research and breeding efforts. By leveraging genetic and biochemical pathways, bio fortification accelerates the development of crops with higher levels of essential micronutrients like iron, zinc, and vitamins, crucial for addressing widespread deficiencies effectively. The integration of biotechnological advancements further enhances the efficiency and scope of these efforts, enabling rapid progress in crop improvement compared to traditional methods. Moving forward, the success of bio fortification depends on continued research into enhancing nutrient bioavailability, understanding consumer acceptance of bio fortified foods, and scaling up production and distribution efforts to reach those most in need. As bio fortification continues to evolve, it holds great promise as a sustainable and impactful solution to combating hidden hunger and improving public health globally.

16.7 References:

- 1. Agnihotri A, Prem D and Gupta K. 2005. Biotechnology in quality improvement of oilseed Brassicas. *Plant biotechnology and molecular markers*, 144-155.
- 2. Bhullar NK and Gruissem W. 2013. Nutritional enhancement of rice for human health: the contribution of biotechnology. *Biotechnology advances*, *31*(1): 50-57.
- 3. Bradshaw JE. 2017. Plant breeding: past, present and future. *Euphytica*, *213*: 1-12.
- 4. Bouis HE. 2003. Micronutrient fortifi cation of plants through plant breeding: Can it improve nutrition in man at low cost? Proc. Nutr. Soc. 62:403–411
- 5. Brown J and Caligari P. 2011. *An introduction to plant breeding*. John Wiley & Sons. 89
- 6. Cakmak I, Cakmak O, Eker S, Ozdemir A, Watanabe N, Braun HJ. 1999. Expression of high zinc efficiency of Aegilops tauschii and Triticum monococcum in synthetic hexaploid wheats. *Plant and Soil* 215: 203–209.
- 7. Cattivelli L, Rizza F, Badeck FW, Mazzucotelli E, Mastrangelo AM, Francia E and Stanca AM. 2008. Drought tolerance improvement in crop plants: an integrated view from breeding to genomics. *Field crops research*, *105*(1-2): 1-14
- 8. Dash A, Kundu D, Das M, Bose D, Adak S and Banerjee R. 2016. Food biotechnology: a step towards improving nutritional quality of food for Asian countries. *Recent Patents on Biotechnology*, *10*(1): 43-57.
- 9. Datta S and Bouis HE. 2000. Application of biotechnology to improving the nutritional quality of rice. *Food and nutrition bulletin*, *21*(4): 451-456.
- 10. Estrada AC, Díaz DV and Hernández, C. A. M. (2017). The role of biotechnology in agricultural production and food supply. *Ciencia e investigación agraria: Revista Latinoamericana de Ciencias de la Agricultura*, *44*(1), 1-11.
- 11. Falk MC, Chassy BM, Harlander SK, Hoban IV TJ, McGloughlin MN and Akhlaghi AR. 2002. Food biotechnology: benefits and concerns. *The Journal of nutrition*, *132*(6): 1384-1390.].
- 12. Gavrilescu M and Chisti Y. 2005. Biotechnology—a sustainable alternative for chemical industry. *Biotechnology advances*, *23*(7-8): 471-499.
- 13. Graham RD, Welch RM and Bouis HE. 2001. Addressing micronutrient malnutrition through enhancing the nutritional quality of staple foods: principles, perspectives and knowledge gaps.
- 14. Graham R, Senadhira D, Beebe S, Iglesias C and Monasterio I. 1999. Breeding for micronutrient density in edible portions of staple food crops: conventional approaches. *Field crops research*, *60*(1-2): 57-80.
- 15. Guzmán-Maldonado, S. H., & Paredes-López, O. 1999. BIOTECHNOLOGY FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF NUTRITIONAL QUALITY OF FOOD CROP PLANTS Nutritionally Important Components in Plants. In *Molecular Biotechnology for Plant Food Production* (pp. 569-636). CRC Press.
- 16. Hefferon KL. 2018. Crops with improved nutritional content though agricultural biotechnology. In *Plant Micronutrient Use Efficiency* (pp. 279-294). Academic Press.
- 17. Moose SP and Mumm RH. 2008. Molecular plant breeding as the foundation for 21st century crop improvement. *Plant physiology*, *147*(3), 969-977.
- 18. Monasterio JI and Graham RD. 2000. Breeding for trace minerals in wheat. Food and Nutrition Bulletin 21: 393–396.
- 19. Ortiz-Monasterio JI, Palacios-Rojas N, Meng E, Pixley K, Trethowan R and Pena RJ. 2007. Enhancing the mineral and vitamin content of wheat and maize through plant breeding. *Journal of Cereal Science 46*(3): 293-307.
- 20. Pfeiffer WH and McClafferty B. 2007. HarvestPlus: breeding crops for better nutrition. *Crop Science*, *47*: S-88.
- 21. Rastogi.smita... pathak neelum, genetic engineering, 2019, 9th ed.oxfort university press, new delhi.
- 22. Singh BD. 2022. plant breeding principles and methods12ed. Rajan Jain scientific international(pvt) Ltd, new delhi.
- 23. Singh BD. 2014. plant biotechnology, 2nd ed.kalyani publication, ludhiyaana.
- 24. Sun SS. 2008. Application of agricultural biotechnology to improve food nutrition and healthcare products. *Asia Pacific Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, *17*.
- 25. Tracy WF. 2003. What is plant breeding. *M. Sligh and L. Lauffer, Summit Proceedings: Summit on Seeds and Breeds for 21st Century Agriculture. Pittsboro, NC: Rural Advancement Foundation International*.

- 26. Uncu AO, Doganlar S and Frays A. 2013. Biotechnology for enhanced nutritional quality in plants. *Critical reviews in plant sciences*, *32*(5): 321-343
- 27. Welch RM and Graham RD. 2004. Breeding for micronutrients in staple food crops from a human nutrition perspective. *Journal of experimental botany*, *55*(396): 353-364.
- 28. Welch RM, House W, Ortiz-Monasterio I and Cheng Z. 2005. Potential for improving bioavailable Zn in wheat grain (Triticum species) through plant breeding. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 53: 2176–2180.